

*Grateful acknowledgment to Vivian Morabito, zFS Development,  
for creating this presentation.*

# Is zFS Ready for Prime Time?

**Presented by Marna WALLE, mwall@us.ibm.com**

z/OS System Installation

Member of the IBM Academy of Technology 

IBM z Systems, Poughkeepsie



#SHAREorg



**SHARE is an independent volunteer-run information technology association  
that provides education, professional networking and industry influence.**



# Benefits of migrating from HFS to zFS

- Higher Performance
- Greater Reliability
- Expanded functionality and tuning
- zFS is IBM's strategic filesystem for z/OS
  - *All future enhancements will be made in zFS*

# zFS Performance

**V1R11:** zFS sysplex-aware fully supports shared filesystem for both admin and file operations

**V1R13:** zFS sysplex-aware support enhanced allowing clients direct access to files (“Direct I/O”).

**V2R1:** Introduction of v5 filesystems to resolve known large directory performance problems.  
Sysplex client directory performance improvements for both existing v4 and new v5 filesystems

**V2R2:** zFS kernel to run in AMODE64 and can optionally run in the OMVS address space

# zFS sysplex-aware and “Direct I/O”

- **V1R11:** zFS forwards requests to the owner for sysplex-aware filesystems
  - - *Eliminates the need for Unix Systems to function ship requests.*
- **V1R13:** zFS further enhances its sysplex-aware support providing direct I/O from clients
  - *Reduces the overhead of using XCF communications.*

# zFS for Large Directories

- Prior to V2R1, there were known performance problems with large zFS directories
  - Affects directories with more than ~10,000 entries
- In V2R1, zFS provides a new v5 directory format to resolve this problem
  - Uses extensible hash algorithm
  - Provides improved performance for large directories
  - Maintains POSIX semantics.
  - max num. of sub-directories is increased (4294967293)

## V2R2 zFS ...Performance will keep getting better !!

- zFS Kernel will run AMODE64
- zFS Kernel can be configured to run in the OMVS address space
- zFS will support higher system limits
  - meta\_cache\_size
  - vnode\_cache\_size
  - token\_cache\_size
- The logging system has been re-written in V2R2 providing I/O efficiency and more parallelism resulting in significant performance gains

# HFS / zFS performance comparison (V1R13)

- **VERIFY workload** is single-threaded test of various file I/O functions. All measurements on z990 processor
- Record sizes vary from 80, 2K, 4K, 8K, 32K, 64K,bytes
- ETR rates are reported in MB/second
- **Monoplex Results:**
- Create Sequential File
  - HFS : 7 - 13 MB/Sec (tops out with 2K record size)
  - zFS : 9 - 606 MB/Sec (Far superior for 2K through 64K record sizes. Increasing record size improves performance)
- Read Sequential File (non-cached)
  - HFS : 8 - 19 MB/Sec (Increasing record size slightly improves performance)
  - zFS : 8 - 23 MB/Sec (20% better for 2K through 64K record sizes)

# HFS / zFS performance comparison (V1R13) (cont.)

- **VERIFY workload, Monoplex results (cont'd)**
- Read Sequential File (cached)
  - HFS : 8 - 20 MB/Sec (no caching benefit)
  - zFS : 8 - 856 MB/Sec (Far superior for 2K through 64K record sizes. Increasing record size improves performance)
- Create Random File
  - HFS : 0.05 - 10 MB/Sec (Increasing record size slightly improves performance)
  - zFS : 6 - 500 MB/Sec (Far superior for all record sizes. Increasing record size improves performance)
- Read Random File (non-cached)
  - HFS : 0.08 - 12 MB/Sec (Increasing record size slightly improves performance)
  - zFS : 3 - 12 MB/Sec (Only 80 bytes size shows improvement)
- Read Random File (cached)
  - HFS : 0.08 - 12 MB/Sec (no caching benefit)
  - zFS : 7 - 809 MB/Sec (Far superior for all record sizes. Increasing record size improves performance)



# HFS / zFS performance comparison (V1R13) (cont.)



- **VERIFY** workload
- **Sysplex Client Results** (two system sysplex)
- Create Sequential File
  - HFS : 0.2 - 11 MB/Sec (Increasing record size slightly improves performance)
  - zFS : 8 - 580 MB/Sec (Far superior for all record sizes. Increasing record size improves performance)
- Read Sequential File (non-cached)
  - HFS : 0.2 - 13 MB/Sec (Increasing record size slightly improves performance)
  - zFS : 7 - 23 MB/Sec (Slightly better for all record sizes)
- Read Sequential File (cached)
  - HFS : 0.2 - 13 MB/Sec (no caching benefit)
  - zFS : 7 - 845 MB/Sec (Far superior for all record sizes. Increasing record size improves performance)

# HFS / zFS performance comparison (V1R13) (cont.)

- VERIFY workload, sysplex (cont.)
- Create Random File
  - HFS : 0.04 - 8 MB/Sec (Increasing record size slightly improves performance)
  - zFS : 6 - 443 MB/Sec (Far superior for all record sizes. Increasing record size improves performance)
- Read Random File (non-cached)
  - HFS : 0.05 - 4 MB/Sec (Increasing record size slightly improves performance)
  - zFS : 3 - 12 MB/Sec (Slightly better for all record sizes)
- Read Random File (cached)
  - HFS : 0.05 - 4 MB/Sec (no caching benefit)
  - zFS : 6 - 809 MB/Sec (Far superior for all record sizes. Increasing record size improves performance)

# HFS / zFS performance comparison (V1R13) (cont.)



- **FSPT workload** is multi-threaded test of random 4K file I/O with 65/35 R/W ratio
- ETR and ITR improvements vary by zFS caching levels
- Monoplex Results (single owning system)
  - 0% zFS caching : ETR 10% and ITR 44% less than HFS (startup costs for zFS are slightly higher)
  - 75% zFS caching : ETR 5X and ITR 2X better than HFS
  - 100% zFS caching : ETR 128X and ITR 5X better than HFS
  - Based on this data zFS starts to outperform HFS in terms of ETR at 10% and ITR at 60% cache hits

# HFS / zFS performance comparison (V1R13) (cont.)



- FSPT workload,
- Sysplex Client Results (two system sysplex)
  - 0% zFS caching : ETR 29% and ITR 2X better than HFS
  - 100% zFS caching : ETR 176X and ITR 22X better than HFS
  - zFS Sysplex Aware and Direct I/O always makes zFS better than HFS

# V2R1 Performance Workload Descriptions:



- No zFS HFS comparisons available for V2R1, but the following results demonstrate the V2R1 zFS improvement in directory operations
- Performance results (on subsequent slides) were obtained using 3 workloads created by IBM:
  - All workloads involved many tasks processing 2000 objects in each directory, for multiple directories, on multiple file systems (see slide notes).
  - **pctestDL2** – This workload did repeated lookup (name searches) by the tasks.
  - **pctestDL** – The tasks did repeated lookup and readdir functions.
  - **pctestDU** – The tasks performed directory create/update/remove/readdir/search.
- Tests were run varying the sizes of the involved directories to test scale-ability.

# Version 5 File Systems – Performance Results ptestDL2

- ptestDL2 (Directory Search) Results on zEC12 / FICON connected DASD

	Monoplex Results			Sysplex Client Results		
Directory Sizes	R13 Operations / Second	z/OS 2.1 V4 Ratio over R13	z/OS 2.1 V5 Ratio over R13	R13 Operations / Second I per processor	z/OS 2.1 V4 Ratio over R13	z/OS 2.1 V5 Ratio over R13
<b>0 Base Names</b> (2000 names per directory)	E=307703 I=307703	EΔ 1.005 IΔ 1.005	<b>EΔ 1.197</b> <b>IΔ 1.197</b>	E=232427 I=55067/proc	EΔ 0.996 IΔ 0.980	<b>EΔ 1.416</b> <b>IΔ 1.378</b>
<b>18k Base Names</b> (20000 names per directory)	E=80840 I=80840	EΔ 0.964 IΔ 0.964	<b>EΔ 4.536</b> <b>IΔ 4.536</b>	E=44532 I=10536/proc	EΔ 0.933 IΔ 0.933	<b>EΔ 7.271</b> <b>IΔ 7.098</b>
<b>48k Base Names</b> (50000 names per directory)	E=34598 I=34598	EΔ 0.964 IΔ 0.964	<b>EΔ 10.026</b> <b>IΔ 10.026</b>	E=18308 I=4333/proc	EΔ 0.918 IΔ 0.918	<b>EΔ 16.668</b> <b>IΔ 16.297</b>

# Version 5 File Systems – Performance Results ptestDL

- ptestDL (Dir. Search+Readdir) Results on zEC12 / FICON connected DASD

	Monoplex Results			Sysplex Client Results		
Directory Sizes	R13 Operations / Second	z/OS 2.1 V4 Ratio over R13	z/OS 2.1 V5 Ratio over R13	R13 Operations / Second I per processor	z/OS 2.1 V4 Ratio over R13	z/OS 2.1 V5 Ratio over R13
<b>0 Base Names</b> (2000 names per directory)	E=297285 I=297345	EΔ 1.002 IΔ 1.002	<b>EΔ 1.167</b> <b>IΔ 1.167</b>	E=216433 I=50474/proc	EΔ 1.064 IΔ 1.078	<b>EΔ 1.454</b> <b>IΔ 1.452</b>
<b>18k Base Names</b> (20000 names per directory)	E=33908 I=33918	<b>EΔ 2.032</b> <b>IΔ 2.032</b>	<b>EΔ 5.978</b> <b>IΔ 5.977</b>	E=2620 I=638/proc	<b>EΔ 12.834</b> <b>IΔ 8.840</b>	<b>EΔ 77.549</b> <b>IΔ 51.830</b>
<b>48k Base Names</b> (50000 names per directory)	E=12717 I=12720	<b>EΔ 2.258</b> <b>IΔ 2.258</b>	<b>EΔ 9.160</b> <b>IΔ 9.160</b>	E=384 I=97/proc	<b>EΔ 35.490</b> <b>IΔ 22.237</b>	<b>EΔ 276.67</b> <b>IΔ 174.81</b>

- Since readdir is in the mix, its response time is dependent on directory size
- V5 monoplex performance: improves 17% for small, 9X for larger directories.
- V5 sysplex client performance improves 45% for small, 277X for larger directories
- Sysplex clients do server communication for attributes of base files

Complete your session evaluations online at [www.SHARE.org/SeattleEval](http://www.SHARE.org/SeattleEval)

→ This is why ETR differs from ITR, we improved performance for client to server communications for both v4 and v5 file systems, hence the large improvement.

# Version 5 File Systems – Performance Results ptestDU

- ptestDU (Dir. Reading and Writing) Results on zEC12 / FICON connected DASD

	Monoplex Results			Sysplex Client Results		
Directory Sizes	R13 Operations / Second	z/OS 2.1 V4 Ratio over R13	z/OS 2.1 V5 Ratio over R13	R13 Operations / Second I per processor	z/OS 2.1 V4 Ratio over R13	z/OS 2.1 V5 Ratio over R13
<b>0 Base Names</b> (2000 names per directory)	E=109584 I=119372	EΔ 1.055 IΔ 1.022	<b>EΔ 1.167</b> <b>IΔ 1.167</b>	E=65384 I=11076/proc	EΔ 1.053 IΔ 1.059	<b>EΔ 1.249</b> <b>IΔ 1.226</b>
<b>18k Base Names</b> (20000 names per directory)	E=31688 I=31943	EΔ 1.566 IΔ 1.483	<b>EΔ 3.313</b> <b>IΔ 3.586</b>	E=7441 I=1675/proc	<b>EΔ 3.528</b> <b>IΔ 2.780</b>	<b>EΔ 8.940</b> <b>IΔ 6.822</b>
<b>48k Base Names</b> (50000 names per directory)	E=12667 I=12682	EΔ 1.741 IΔ 1.751	<b>EΔ 6.158</b> <b>IΔ 7.069</b>	E=830 I=205/proc	<b>EΔ 17.472</b> <b>IΔ 12.568</b>	<b>EΔ 65.110</b> <b>IΔ 47.891</b>

▪ V5 monoplex performance: improves 17% for small, 7X for larger directories.

▪ V5 sysplex client performance improves 25% for small, 65X for larger directories

▪ Results from last two rows in table hurt by small meta cache size:

▪ → Due to zFS storage constraints, it was not possible to run with larger cache

▪ → IBM working on solution

Complete your session evaluations online at [www.SHARE.org/Seattle-Eval](http://www.SHARE.org/Seattle-Eval)



## zFS: Greater Reliability

- zFS has made improvements to reduce situations which:
  - Require re-mount of filesystem(s)
  - Require re-IPL
- zFS has a lower exposure to situations that could result in corruption of a filesystem... and in the very rare situation that a corruption does occur, zFS has a salvager to correct most corruptions.

# zFS Internal Restart (V1R13)

- There are (unusual) situations where the zFS PFS may go down on a sysplex member
- Prior to V1R13, all zFS filesystems owned on that system are moved or unmounted
- With this V1R13 support, when a such a situation occurs, zFS will internally go down, restart and internally remount any zFS file systems that were locally mounted
- zFS will not read IOEFSPRM configuration options during this internal restart. Previous settings are used.
- **zFS internal restart will clear up almost any internal zFS problem without losing the filesystem tree**
- *Note that in a single system environment if zFS goes down, you will loose the mount tree.*

# zFS filesystem corruption avoidance and correction



- Filesystem corruption is a very rare event
  - *HFS filesystems can become permanently corrupted if a system outage occurs during an HFS sync. zFS does not have this issue.*
- zFS will disable a filesystem to try to prevent a corruption
- In the rare event that a corruption occurs, zFS has a salvager that will repair most filesystem corruptions.

# zFS auto-takeover of disabled aggregates (V1R13)



- If a zFS filesystem becomes disabled it must be unmounted and then mounted again to recover
- Prior to this support this was a manual operation
- With this support, in a zFS sysplex-aware environment, zFS will attempt to automatically recover the disabled filesystem
  - *The owning system will request that another sysplex-aware system take over zFS ownership*
  - *In a single system, same-mode remounts are used to resolve disabled aggregates.*

# zFS Queries

- zFS provides query functions that provide extensive information on system utilization, files, directories, and filesystems
- V2R1: fileinfo
  - Displays detailed information about a file or directory
- V2R2: fsinfo
  - Displays detailed information about single or multiple filesystems including sysplex-wide detailed information
- These queries are not available on HFS.

# zFS system utilization queries

- zFS has extensive query commands to show system statistics.
- Data come from these queries allow the understanding of zFS's use of system resources and allows zFS to be tuned to optimize performance for the installation.
- Queries include:
  - Storage
  - Counters for the various caches
  - I/O by dasd or aggregate
  - PFS calls on the owner
  - Locking statistics

# fileinfo query

- **zfsadm fileinfo** – displays detailed file information:
  - **zfsadm fileinfo -path <pathname> [{-globalonly | -localonly | -both}]**

```
path: /home/suimghq/lfsmounts/PLEX.ZFS.FS2/DCEIMGHQ.ftestLD.p6/.
***  global data  ***
fid                7,174515          anode              130931,2028
length            33546240          format            BLOCKED
1K blocks         21664              permissions       755
uid,gid           0,10              access acl        33,72
dir model acl     33,72              file model acl    32,72
user audit        F,F,F             auditor audit     N,N,N
set sticky,uid,gid 0,0,0             seclabel          none
object type       DIR                object linkcount  39686
object genvalue   0x00000000          dir version       5
dir name count    39686              dir data version  160308
dir tree status   VALID              dir conversion    na
file format bits  na,na,na            file charset id   na
file cver         na                charspec major,minor na
direct blocks     0x000D91FE          0x000D91FF        0x000D9200        0x000D9201
                  0x000D9202          0x000D9203        0x000D9204        0x000D9205
indirect blocks   0x000D9206          0x00052199
mtime            May 28 17:09:45 2013  atime              May 28 17:09:19 2013
ctime            May 28 17:09:45 2013  create time        May 28 15:14:48 2013
reftime          none
```

## fsinfo query (V2R2)

- New file system query command to provide more information, faster, with selection and sorting criteria
- It offers the ability to get and sort information for one or more file systems that have common names, common attributes, or that have encountered similar unexpected conditions
- available from the shell (zfsadm), console (MODIFY) or via api call.
- Can display basic output, information known only to owner, only on local system, or full information.
- RMF enhancements will use new fsinfo api interface, providing improved performance and additional information



# FSINFO – full (brown = info not previously available)

- File System Name: PLEX.ZFS.SMALL2
- 
- \*\*\* owner information \*\*\*
- Owner: DCEIMGHQ Converttov5: OFF,n/a
- Size: 40320K Free 8K Blocks: 905
- Free 1K Fragments: 7 Log File Size: 32800K
- Bitmap Size: 8K Anode Table Size: 80K
- File System Objects: 28 Version: 1.5
- Overflow Pages: 0 Overflow HighWater: 0
- Thrashing Objects: 0 Thrashing Resolution: 0
- Token Revocations: 37 Revocation Wait Time: 85.141
- Devno: 46 Space Monitoring: 90,5
- Quiescing System: n/a Quiescing Job Name: n/a
- Quiescor ASID: n/a File System Grow: OFF,0
- Status: RW,RS,GD,SE
- Audit Fid: C3C6C3F0 F0F001F9 0000
- 
- File System Creation Time: Nov 14 00:07:36 2014
- Time of Ownership: Dec 9 11:10:36 2014
- Statistics Reset Time: Dec 9 11:10:35 2014
- Quiesce Time: n/a
- Last Grow Time: n/a
- 
- Connected Clients: n/a
- 
- Legend: RW=Read-write, GD=AGGRGROW disabled, RS=Mounted RWSHARE
- SE=Space errors reported

# FSINFO – full (output continued)

```

•   *** local data from system DCEIMGHQ (owner: DCEIMGHQ) ***
•   Vnodes:                      410                      LFS Held Vnodes:          30
•   Open Objects:                2                      Tokens:                  29
•   User Cache 4K Pages:        13                      Metadata Cache 8K Pages: 35
•   Application Reads:          104355143                Avg. Read Resp. Time:    0.151
•   Application Writes:         39105328                Avg. Writes Resp. Time: 0.641
•   Read XCF Calls:             0                      Avg. Rd XCF Resp. Time: 0.000
•   Write XCF Calls:            0                      Avg. Wr XCF Resp. Time: 0.000
•   ENOSPC Errors:              15920695                Disk IO Errors:          0
•   XCF Comm. Failures:         0                      Canceled Operations:     0
•
•   DDNAME:                      SYS00008
•   Mount Time:                  Dec  9 11:10:35 2014
•
•   VOLSER PAV      Reads      KBytes      Writes      KBytes      Waits
•   -----
•   CFC000  1      821059      6997808      537165      14633376      974568
•   2.805
•   -----
•   TOTALS      821059      6997808      537165      14633376      974568
•   2.805

```

# zFS: Improved quiesced filesystems displays (V2R1)

- Shell command:
  - df
- Console Commands:
  - D OMVS,F
  - F ZFS,QUERY,FILESETS,QUIESCED

## Misc zFS Advantages & Improvements

- Improved FFDC
- Intelligent hang detector
- More stressful and varied testing on zFS
- Filesystem backup improvement in V2R1

# zFS: is the strategic filesystem for z/OS

- No additional enhancements will be made to HFS
- zFS will continue to be enhanced in future releases!