

# CICS Extreme Performance Problems and Debugging

*Ed Addison  
IBM*

*March 3 2015  
16551*

Insert  
Custom  
Session  
QR if  
Desired.



SHARE is an independent volunteer-run information technology association that provides education, professional networking and industry influence.



# Agenda

- Performance Problem - Loop
- Externalize MXT with CICS System Events
- CICS Monitoring Facility
- RMFIII
- Systrace perfdata



# Problem One: Loop



# Problem One - Loop

- Customer called the Support Center for no transactions running yet high CPU consumed by the CICS region
- ST SYS** (Status SYStem)
  - With a dump, from the IPCS Commands panel, enter the **ST SYS** command to find out what time the dump was taken. Below is an example of the output

```
SYSTEM STATUS:
Nucleus member name: IEANUC01
Sysplex name: EDZPLEX
TIME OF DAY CLOCK: C2D443EE B58366C4 08/11/2008 18:31:27.786295 local
TIME OF DAY CLOCK: C2D3D8A4 E38366C4 08/11/2008 23:31:27.786295 GMT
Program Producing Dump: SVCDUMP
Program Requesting Dump: IEAVTSDT
Incident token: EDZPLEX 07/08/2008 23:31:27.198911 GMT
```

- When getting information from this screen, it is important to note both the LOCAL Time-Of-Day and the GMT Time-Of-Day
  - The CICS Dispatcher summary gives times as GMT rather than LOCAL

## VERBX DFHPDxxx 'CSA=2'

CSA=2

=== SUMMARY OF ACTIVE ADDRESS SPACES

ASID (hex) :           JOBNAME:  
0148                   CICS01

===CSA: COMMON SYSTEM AREA AND OPTIONAL FEATURES LIST

CSA 0004EF98 Common System Area

```

0000  00000248 0004B020 101BA578 800BADE4  90669D14 0ECF6F30 116C8528 116C87FC *.v....U.....?..%e..%g.*
0020  9066DDDD 9066E70E 116C8C30 1021FEE0  131DE000 7F3FB960 0004E770 11AFC030 *...}..X..%.....\..\."..-..X...{.*
0040  00051020 00054080 0011400C 102C3680  1624268F 11D9D8C8 00000100 00000000 *.RQH.....*
0060  005A2101 00000000 00090D70 000038DA  00000000 00000000 7FFFFFFF 0106189F *!......".....*
0080  00AC6000 E0004800 00009080 8ECF0090  000033A3 00000000 001E001E E707E762 *..-\.....t.....X.X.*

```

- In this example, the CSA time is 16:24:26.8 LOCAL Time
- There is other important information given in this output:
  - The jobname of CICS
  - The address space ID (ASID) of CICS

## Compare Times

- Once you have the CSA time and the LOCAL time from ST SYS, you can decide if CICS is healthy or not
  - ▶ If there is a several minute time gap between the two times, then you know CICS is unhealthy
  - ▶ If the two times are close, then you know CICS is healthy and something else is causing the problem
- Even when a healthy CICS is dumped, there is usually some difference between the two times. This is because ST SYS is not the exact time CICS started dumping
  - ▶ If there is less than a minute difference between CSA time and SY SYS LOCAL time, then you can generally say CICS was healthy when the dump was taken
- From this example, the CSA has not been updated in over 2 hours:
  - ▶ CSA time is: 16:24:26.8 local
  - ▶ ST SYS time is: 18:31:27.7 local
- If the difference between CSA time and ST SYS local time leads you to believe CICS is unhealthy, then this should coincide with CICS CPU utilization
  - ▶ When CICS is unhealthy, it is either getting no CPU time (hung) or it is getting all the CPU time (looping)

## Is CICS looping or is it hung?

- In this example CICS is not healthy. This indicates the CICS Dispatcher is not getting control for one of 2 reasons:
  - ▶ The CICS Dispatcher has given control to a CICS task, and the CICS task has never given control back
  - ▶ The CICS Dispatcher has given up control to z/OS, and z/OS has never redispached CICS
- To determine which one it is, enter **VERBX DFHPDxxx 'KE=1'**



## VERBX DFHPDxxx 'KE=1'

```
===KE: Kernel Domain KE_TASK Summary
```

KE_NUM	KE_TASK	STATUS	TCA_ADDR	TRAN_#	TRANSID	DS_TASK	KE_KTCB	ERROR
0001	0EC54C80	KTCB Step	00000000			00000000	0EC96080	
0002	0EC54900	<b>KTCB QR</b>	00000000			10203030	<b>0EC99020</b>	
0003	0EC54580	KTCB RO	00000000			10203148	0EC98040	
0004	0EC54200	KTCB CO	00000000			10203260	1012B020	
0005	0EC71C80	KTCB FO	00000000			10203378	0EC97060	
0006	0EC71900	Not Running	00000000			10136080	0EC98040	
0007	0EC71580	Unused						
0008	0EC71200	KTCB SL	00000000			102035A8	10169020	
0009	0EC8EC80	Not Running	00000000			101F3680	0EC99020	
000A	1026E400	KTCB CQ	00000000			10203490	10146020	
...								
0024	101EB900	***Running**	00000000			10136380	10146020	
...								
<b>01A4</b>	<b>116C6080</b>	<b>***Running**</b>	<b>102C3680</b>	<b>84551</b>	<b>CSPG</b>	<b>101CD580</b>	<b>0EC99020</b>	



## VERBX DFHPDxxx 'KE=1'(cont)

- Look to see if there is a \*\*\*Running\*\* task under the QR TCB. If there is, then the CICS Dispatcher has given control to the task, and the task has not given control back
  - ▶ You first need to find the address of the QR KTCB. It is in the KE\_KTCB column on the line showing the KTCB QR in the STATUS column
  - ▶ In the previous example, you can see there is a running task dispatched on the QR TCB
  - ▶ Note: There is another running task, dispatched on the CQ TCB. This is the console/KILL task which remains available for console requests or requests to KILL a looping or hung task
- The task on the QR TCB is 'running' from the CICS Dispatcher's perspective. This simply means it has never given control back to the CICS Dispatcher
  - ▶ It could be looping
  - ▶ It could have done something causing the CICS QR TCB to lose control
- Find out by using the z/OS System trace and the CICS trace
- Before you look at the z/OS System trace, you need to know the ASID of CICS, and the TCB address of the QR TCB

## Find the address of the QR TCB

- Find the address of the QR TCB by listing the contents of the QR KTCB
  - ▶ **IP L 0EC99020 ASID(x'148') L(999)**
    - **We obtained this address on slide 18**
  - ▶ Offset x'50' into a KTCB is the address of the corresponding z/OS TCB:

```
LIST 0EC99020. ASID(X'0148') LENGTH(X'03E7') AREA
ASID(X'0148') ADDRESS(0EC99020.) KEY(80)
0EC99020. D2E3C3C2 40404040 00000000 0EC54900 116C6080 0EC5C020 00000159 6D263B20 |KTCB      .....E..E{....._|
0EC99040. 00000000 7D000000 00000000 00000000 80000004 00000000 36800000 D800D8D9 |....'.....Q.QR|
0EC99060. 00000000 00000000 0EC99020 40000000 00AEB5D8 00000000 00006120 00000000 |.....I.. ..../.....|
0EC99080. 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000520 0000038E 00000008 |.....|
0EC990A0. 00AEB5D8 00000000 1012B020 0EC98040 00000000 00000000 BF12AAB3 4FC4FF88 |...Q.....I. ....|D.h|
```

- An alternate way to identify the QR TCB is to format the CICS trace table.

Enter VERBX DFHPDxxx 'TR=2', then do a FIND on QR

```
AP 4D01 CQCQ EXIT - FUNCTION(MERGE_CIB_QUEUES) RESPONSE(OK)

TASK-TCP KE_NUM-001C TCB-QR /00AEB5D8 RET-905E1C0A TIME-16:20:56.9458823764
```

- Now see what the z/OS System trace indicates

## z/OS System Trace Table

- From IPCS Option 6 Command enter:
  - SYSTRACE TIME(LOCAL)

SYSTRACE TIME(LOCAL)											
----- SYSTEM TRACE TABLE -----											
PR	ASID	WU-ADDR-	IDENT	CD/D	PSW-----	ADDRESS-	...	PASD	SASD	TIMESTAMP-LOCAL	CP
06	0148	00AEB5D8	EXT	1005	078D0000	929888E2	...	0148	0148	18:31:25.338251	01
06	0148	00AEB5D8	I/O	00458	078D2000	929888E6	...	0148	0148	18:31:25.338279	01
06	0148	00AEB5D8	EXT	1005	078D0000	929888EE	...	0148	0148	18:31:25.338675	01
06	0148	00AEB5D8	I/O	034D4	078D2000	929888EA	...	0148	0148	18:31:25.338763	01
06	0148	00AEB5D8	EXT	1005	078D0000	929888EE	...	0148	0148	18:31:25.339097	01
06	0148	00AEB5D8	I/O	03DF2	078D0000	929888E2	...	0148	0148	18:31:25.339261	01
06	0148	00AEB5D8	EXT	1005	078D0000	929888EE	...	0148	0148	18:31:25.339519	01
06	0148	00AEB5D8	CLKC		078D0000	929888E2	...	0148	0148	18:31:25.339861	01
06	0148	00AEB5D8	DSP		078D0000	929888E2	...	0148	0148	18:31:25.340108	01
06	0148	00AEB5D8	I/O	0045A	078D2000	929888E6	...	0148	0148	18:31:25.340167	01
06	0148	00AEB5D8	I/O	00458	078D2000	929888EA	...	0148	0148	18:31:25.340264	01
06	0148	00AEB5D8	EXT	1005	078D0000	929888E2	...	0148	0148	18:31:25.340535	01
06	0148	00AEB5D8	I/O	03DF2	078D0000	929888E2	...	0148	0148	18:31:25.340787	01
06	0148	00AEB5D8	EXT	1005	078D2000	929888EA	...	0148	0148	18:31:25.340957	01
06	0148	00AEB5D8	I/O	03DF2	078D2000	929888EA	...	0148	0148	18:31:25.341303	01
06	0148	00AEB5D8	EXT	1005	078D0000	929888EE	...	0148	0148	18:31:25.341380	01
03	0148	00AEB5D8	DSP		078D0000	929888EE	...	0148	0148	18:31:25.341420	04

- Note: 00AEB5D8 is the QR TCB derived from the previous slide

## System Trace Table (cont)

- Verify the ASID being traced is the one for the CICS region we care about
  - ▶ If it isn't, you can enter **SYSTRACE TIME(LOCAL) ASID(x'xx')**
- The TCB address shows up in the second column. Verify it is the QR TCB
- This trace shows a loop on the QR TCB. Notice the PSW address on the DSP and EXT trace entries
- Before we saw this trace, we already knew CICS was unhealthy
  - ▶ A CICS task had not relinquished control to the CICS Dispatcher for over two hours
- By looking at the System trace table, we can verify if the CICS task was looping, or if it had lost control to z/OS
  - ▶ Since we see trace entries for the QR TCB, we assume it is looping



## CICS is Looping

- What you expect to see in the System trace table is a looping pattern. In this example, we have a pattern of DSP and EXT trace entries
  - ▶ EXT trace entries are an external interrupt
    - z/OS is taking control away from the TCB in order to process some sort of interrupt (an I/O interrupt in this case)
  - ▶ DSP trace entries are z/OS Dispatcher trace entries
    - The z/OS Dispatcher is giving control back to the TCB at the exact instruction address where control was taken
  - ▶ I/O trace entries are z/OS high priority interrupts when I/O finishes
  - ▶ CLKC trace entries are z/OS checking clocks when z/OS services haven't been requested for awhile
- By looking at the PSW addresses in the System trace, you can begin to learn what module(s) comprise the loop
  - ▶ If there are several modules involved in the loop, you would likely need to look at lots of I/O, EXT, DSP entries before you could get a handle on the extent of the loop
  - ▶ In this example, it is clear fairly quickly the problem is a tight loop involving only a few instructions between address 129888E2 and 129888EE



## Finding the Looping Program

- The next step is to identify the program(s) in which the looping instructions live
- If you are in SYSTRACE, and want to know what module a PSW address falls within, you first need to subtract the high-order bit (the x'80' bit, if there is one)
  - ▶ For instance, if the PSW address is 81234568, then the address you need to use is 1234568
  - ▶ If the PSW address is A1234568, then the address you need to use will be 21234568
- Once you have the address aaaaaaaa, you have several choices for figuring out the module:
  - ▶ **VERBX DFHPDxxx 'LD=1'** displays the Loader Domain summary information
    - Enter **FIND 'PROGRAM STORAGE MAP'**
    - The Program Storage Map lists the modules loaded by CICS, in address order
    - In our example, for PSW address 929888E2, we could do a FIND on ' 129' to get closer to the programs listed near this address



## VERBX DFHPDxxx 'LD=1'

## ■ VERBX DFHPDxxx 'LD=1'

==LD: PROGRAM STORAGE MAP

PGM NAME	ENTRY PT	CSECT	LOAD PT.	REL.	PTF LVL.	LAST COMPILED	COPY NO.	USERS
DFHCSA	8004E200	DFHKELCL	0004D000	650	HCI6700	06/05/11 05.51	1	1
		-noheda-	0004D4F8					
		DFHKELRT	0004D500	650	HCI6700	06/05/11 05.51		
		-noheda-	0004D8F8					
		DFHCSAOF	0004D900	0650	HCI6700	I 05/11 06.53		
		DFHCSA	0004E000	0650	HCI6700	I 05/11 06.53		
		DFHKERCD	0004E4B0	650	HCI6700	06/05/11 05.51		

... then FIND on ` 129' shows:

DFHCRS	92982D70	DFHCRS	12982D50	0650		I 29/11 23.23	1	0
DFHSNP	92984BE8	DFHYA630	12984BC0	630			1	0
		DFHSNP	12984C58	0650		I 30/11 02.48		
DFHTPR	92987FFA	DFHTPR	12987FD0	0650	HCI6700	I 13/12 11.09	1	1
GGGGPEND	9298D538	DFHYA640	1298D510	640			1	0

## Using the WHERE command or Browse mode

- Enter **WHERE aaaaaaaa** or simply **W aaaaaaaa** from a command line
  - ▶ If the first digit of the address starts with a letter, then you could enter the WHERE command followed by a period:
    - **WHERE aaaaaaa.** e.g. **WHERE C00498.**
  - ▶ Or you could include a leading zero:
    - **WHERE 0aaaaaaa** e.g. **WHERE 0C00498**

- The WHERE command is useful when CICS doesn't know about the module
  - ▶ WHERE is not helpful in this example because the looping module was loaded by CICS, not z/OS, so z/OS is unable to identify the module. **WHERE 129888E2** displays:

ASID(X'0148') 129888E2. AREA(Subpool252Key00)+1888E2 IN EXTENDED PRIVATE

- You can also try to display the PSW address in Browse mode and back up looking for an eyecatcher



## The CICS Trace Table

- If the System trace entries indicate the loop is larger than a tight loop within one module, it is possible CICS services are being requested by the looping module. If this is true and if CICS internal trace is active, then you may be able to see the loop in the CICS trace

**Note:** Some CICS services (like EXEC CICS SUSPEND or EXEC CICS SEND WAIT) cause the task to be suspended and the CSA Time-of-Day clock to be updated. Because we have determined CICS is unhealthy in this discussion, we know no such services are being requested. Other CICS services (like EXEC CICS ASSIGN or EXEC CICS FREEMAIN) do not cause the task to be suspended (i.e. do not give control back to the CICS Dispatcher)

- To format the internal CICS trace table, enter **VERBX DFHPDxxx 'TR=2'**



## CICS Trace

- **VERBX DFHPDxxx 'TR=2'**
- The trace entries below are the last trace entries in the dump. The time stamps match the CSA Time-of-Day. This is consistent with a tight loop. As soon as the tight loop starts, there are no more CICS trace entries, no more updates of the CSA Time-of-Day, and no more useful work done by CICS

```

AP 1940 APLI  ENTRY - FUNCTION(START_PROGRAM) PROGRAM(DFHTPR) CEDF_STATUS(NOCEDF) EXECUTION_SET(FULLAPI)
                SYNCONRETURN(NO) LANGUAGE_BLOCK(1174EAE0) COMMAREA(00000000 , 00000000) LINK_LEVEL(1)

                TASK-84551 KE_NUM-01A4 TCB-QR    /00AEB5D8 RET-9047C94E TIME-16:24:26.8881611423

XM 1001 XMIQ  ENTRY - FUNCTION(INQUIRE_TRANSACTION)
                TASK-84551 KE_NUM-01A4 TCB-QR    /00AEB5D8 RET-9059A64C TIME-16:24:26.8881644079

XM 1002 XMIQ  EXIT  - FUNCTION(INQUIRE_TRANSACTION) RESPONSE(OK) FACILITY_TYPE(TERMINAL) TRANNUM(0084551C)
                ORIGINAL_TRANSACTION_ID(CSPG)

                TASK-84551 KE_NUM-01A4 TCB-QR    /00AEB5D8 RET-9059A64C TIME-16:24:26.8881673220

---TRACE TABLE END---
```

NOTE: Time to call the Support Center for loop in DFH module

# Externalize CICS MaxTask with System Event



# Externalize MXT with System Events

- New with CICS Transaction Server 4.2
- Event processing supports the following system events:
  - ▶ FILE enable or disable status
  - ▶ FILE open or close status
  - ▶ DB2CONN connection status
  - ▶ TASK threshold
  - ▶ TRANCLASS TASK threshold
  - ▶ Unhandled transaction abend
- Use CICS Explorer to build Event Binding file
- Prepare and install a Transaction and a Program that will write out a console message at various task thresholds.
- Set a SLIP to get a dump on one of the messages.



# Problem: IYNXK went MaXTask

```

07.10.08 JOB18137 +ABOVE_60_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.10.14 JOB18137 +ABOVE_80_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.10.17 JOB18137 IEA794I SVC DUMP HAS CAPTURED: 032
032          DUMPID=154 REQUESTED BY JOB (IYNXK )
032          DUMP TITLE=SLIP DUMP ID=AB80
07.10.20 JOB18137 +ABOVE_100_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.10.24 JOB18137 +BELOW_90_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.10.26 JOB18137 +ABOVE_100_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.10.36 JOB18137 +BELOW_90_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.10.38 JOB18137 +BELOW_70_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.10.45 JOB18137 +ABOVE_80_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.10.51 JOB18137 +BELOW_70_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.10.53 JOB18137 +BELOW_50_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.11.00 JOB18137 IEA794I SVC DUMP HAS CAPTURED: 073
073          DUMPID=155 REQUESTED BY JOB (*MASTER*)
073          DUMP TITLE=IYNXK MXT
07.11.18 JOB18137 +ABOVE_60_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.11.20 JOB18137 +ABOVE_80_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.11.22 JOB18137 +ABOVE_100_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.11.28 JOB18137 +BELOW_90_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.11.30 JOB18137 +BELOW_70_PERCENT_OF_MXT


```

```


07.11.32 JOB18137 +ABOVE_80_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.11.34 JOB18137 +ABOVE_100_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.11.46 JOB18137 IEA794I SVC DUMP HAS CAPTURED: 117
117          DUMPID=156 REQUESTED BY JOB (*MASTER*)
117          DUMP TITLE=IYNXK MXT2
07.12.00 JOB18137 +BELOW_90_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.12.01 JOB18137 +ABOVE_100_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.12.06 JOB18137 +BELOW_90_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.12.07 JOB18137 +ABOVE_100_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.12.12 JOB18137 +BELOW_90_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.12.12 JOB18137 +ABOVE_100_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.12.17 JOB18137 +BELOW_90_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.12.18 JOB18137 +ABOVE_100_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.12.26 JOB18137 +BELOW_90_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.12.28 JOB18137 +ABOVE_100_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.13.08 JOB18137 +BELOW_90_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.13.10 JOB18137 +BELOW_70_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.13.11 JOB18137 +BELOW_50_PERCENT_OF_MXT
07.15.27 JOB18137 IEA794I SVC DUMP HAS CAPTURED: 197
197          DUMPID=157 REQUESTED BY JOB (*MASTER*)
197          DUMP TITLE=IYNXK NORM

```


Create an Event Binding Specification that contains 6 Capture Specifications as shown. The name of each Capture Specification is the content of the message sent to the console.



For each Capture Specification, choose a TASK THRESHOLD System Capture Point



For each Capture Specification, define a predicate that matches the name of the Capture Specification.





For the Adapter, choose Custom (User Written) and put in a Transaction ID. Then click on Advanced Options.

The screenshot shows the 'Adapter' configuration window in IBM CICS Explorer. The window has a title bar 'IBM CICS Explorer' and a menu bar with 'Explorer', 'Edit', 'Project', 'Search', 'Window', and 'Help'. Below the menu bar is a toolbar with various icons. The main content area is titled '\*MXT\_Messages.evbind' and contains the following sections:

- Resource**: A section with the text 'Use a predefined EPADAPTER resource, or use an adapter that you specify here.' and two radio buttons: 'Use a predefined EPADAPTER resource' (unselected) and 'Use an adapter defined here' (selected). Below the radio buttons is a button labeled 'Export Event Specifications...'.  
**Adapter**: A section with the text 'Choose the adapter and settings to emit events.' and a dropdown menu labeled 'Adapter' with 'Custom (User Written)' selected. Below the dropdown is a text box labeled 'Transaction ID' containing 'EPTT'. Below the text box is a text box labeled 'Program ID'. Below the text box is a text box labeled 'Data passed to the Custom Adapter'.  
**Advanced Options**: A section with a tabbed interface showing 'Event Binding', 'Specification', and 'Adapter' tabs. The 'Event Binding' tab is currently selected.

In advanced Options, let everything default except specify Dispatch Priority High.

**IBM CICS Explorer**

Explorer Edit Project Search Window Help

\*MXT\_Messages.evbind x

**Adapter**

Adapter: Custom (User written)

Emits events in any format that you require. A custom EP adapter is a CICS program that you write to provide a combination of formatting and routing of an event that is not supported by the CICS-supplied EP adapters. The custom EP adapter must not carry out any other processing, such as consumption of the event.

Transaction ID: EPTT

Program ID:

Data passed to the Custom Adapter:

**Advanced Options**

These optional dispatcher settings are for advanced users.

Emission Mode: ☒ Async ☐ Sync

Dispatch Priority: High

Transaction ID:

User ID: ☐ Use Context User Id

Events are Transactional: ☐

Event Binding Specification Adapter

Translate, Assemble, and Link the following program into a dataset in the DFHRPL concatenation

```
TITLE 'EPADAPTR'
*****
* EPADAPTR: Puts out a message to the console *
*-----*
DFHEISTG DSECT
STRUCLN DS    CL4
*
      DFHREGS
      COPY  DFHEPCXD    Covers DFHEP.CONTEXT container
      COPY  DFHEPDED    Covers DFHEP.DESRIPTOR container
      COPY  DFHEPAPD    Covers DFHEP.ADAPTPARM container
*
EPADAPTR CSECT
EPADAPTR AMODE ANY
EPADAPTR RMODE ANY
*
      EXEC CICS GET CONTAINER('DFHEP.CONTEXT')          X
              SET(R9) FLENGTH(STRUCLN)
      USING EPCX,R9
      EXEC CICS WRITE OPERATOR TEXT(EPCX_CS_NAME)
      EXEC CICS RETURN
*
      END
```

## Final Steps:

- Export the Bundle Project containing the Event Binding Specification.
- Define and Install a Transaction definition for EPTT and a Program definition for EPADAPTR. Specify Priority(255) on the EPTT transaction definition.
- Using the exported Bundle Project file, define and install the Bundle
- And if you want to get a dump on one of the messages, here is a SLIP:

```
SLIP SET,MSGID='ABOVE_80',J=jobname,ID=AB80,A=SVCD,ML=1,END
```



# CICS Monitoring Facility Information

- Two CICS/PA summary forms
- Use them with the 4 example tasks
- Use them with the problem SMF110 data



SUSPSUM summarizes components of Suspend Time.

DISPSUM summarizes components of Dispatch Time.

```
SUMMARY (OUTPUT (SUSPSUM),
          EXTERNAL (CPAXW001),
          TOTALS (8),
          INTERVAL (00:00:30),
          FIELDS (START (TIMES, ASCEND),
                  TASKCNT,
                  RESPONSE (AVE),
                  DISPATCH (TIME (AVE)),
                  SUSPEND (TIME (AVE)),
                  SUSPEND (COUNT (AVE)),
                  DSPDELAY (TIME (AVE)),
                  MXTDELAY (TIME (AVE)),
                  TCLDELAY (TIME (AVE)),
                  DISPWAIT (TIME (AVE)),
                  QRMODDLY (TIME (AVE)),
                  FCWAIT (TIME (AVE)),
                  FCWAIT (COUNT (AVE)))))
```

```
SUMMARY (OUTPUT (DISPSUM),
          EXTERNAL (CPAXW002),
          TOTALS (8),
          INTERVAL (00:00:30),
          FIELDS (START (TIMES, ASCEND),
                  TASKCNT,
                  RESPONSE (AVE),
                  SUSPEND (TIME (AVE)),
                  DISPATCH (TIME (AVE)),
                  CPU (TIME (AVE)),
                  QORDISPT (TIME (TOT)),
                  QORDISPT (TIME (AVE)),
                  QRCPU (TIME (TOT)),
                  KY8DISPT (TIME (AVE)),
                  KY8DISPT (COUNT (AVE)),
                  L8CPU (TIME (AVE)),
                  MXTDELAY (TIME (AVE)))))
```

SUSPSUM		Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg
Start	#Tasks	Response	Dispatch	Suspend	Suspend	DisplDly	MXTDelay	TCLDelay	DispWait	QRModDly	FC Wait	FC Wait
Interval		Time	Time	Time	Count	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Count
07:08:30	3228	.1113	.0092	.1021	1	.0605	.0000	.0000	.0414	.0414	.0416	0
07:09:00	3276	.1562	.0091	.1471	1	.0830	.0000	.0000	.0638	.0638	.0641	0
07:09:30	3228	.3328	.0093	.3234	1	.1698	.0000	.0000	.1525	.1524	.1528	0
07:10:00	2285	2.1023	.0137	2.0886	1	1.0377	.0289	.0000	1.0375	1.0375	1.0076	0
07:10:30	2105	1.5692	.0131	1.5561	1	.7964	.0083	.0000	.7540	.7540	.7083	0
07:11:00	2384	1.1418	.0125	1.1293	1	.5423	.0434	.0000	.5813	.5813	.5195	0
07:11:30	1945	3.4445	.0158	3.4287	1	1.8043	.3032	.0000	1.6064	1.6064	1.4462	0
07:12:00	2446	2.4340	.0117	2.4223	1	1.2851	.1436	.0000	1.1246	1.1246	.9916	0
07:12:30	3240	1.7993	.0091	1.7902	1	.9038	.0030	.0000	.8778	.8778	.8823	0
07:13:00	3051	.6163	.0091	.6072	1	.3217	.0000	.0000	.2806	.2806	.2843	0
07:13:30	3252	.0753	.0091	.0661	1	.0413	.0000	.0000	.0246	.0246	.0248	0
07:14:00	3258	.1391	.0091	.1300	1	.0742	.0000	.0000	.0556	.0556	.0559	0
07:14:30	3258	.1640	.0091	.1548	1	.0867	.0000	.0000	.0679	.0679	.0682	0

- Average Response Time started going bad in the 7:09:30 interval.
- It was back to normal starting in the 7:13:30 interval.
- You can see from the MXTDelay column which intervals had some MXT delay.

SUSPSUM		Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg
Start	#Tasks	Response	Dispatch	Suspend	Suspend	DisplDly	MXTDelay	TCLDelay	DispWait	QRModDly	FC Wait	FC Wait
Interval		Time	Time	Time	Count	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Count
07:08:30	3228	.1113	.0092	.1021	1	.0605	.0000	.0000	.0414	.0414	.0416	0
07:09:00	3276	.1562	.0091	.1471	1	.0830	.0000	.0000	.0638	.0638	.0641	0
07:09:30	3228	.3328	.0093	.3234	1	.1698	.0000	.0000	.1525	.1524	.1528	0
07:10:00	2285	2.1023	.0137	2.0886	1	1.0377	.0289	.0000	1.0375	1.0375	1.0076	0
07:10:30	2105	1.5692	.0131	1.5561	1	.7964	.0083	.0000	.7540	.7540	.7083	0
07:11:00	2384	1.1418	.0125	1.1293	1	.5423	.0434	.0000	.5813	.5813	.5195	0
07:11:30	1945	3.4445	.0158	3.4287	1	1.8043	.3032	.0000	1.6064	1.6064	1.4462	0
07:12:00	2446	2.4340	.0117	2.4223	1	1.2851	.1436	.0000	1.1246	1.1246	.9916	0
07:12:30	3240	1.7993	.0091	1.7902	1	.9038	.0030	.0000	.8778	.8778	.8823	0
07:13:00	3051	.6163	.0091	.6072	1	.3217	.0000	.0000	.2806	.2806	.2843	0
07:13:30	3252	.0753	.0091	.0661	1	.0413	.0000	.0000	.0246	.0246	.0248	0
07:14:00	3258	.1391	.0091	.1300	1	.0742	.0000	.0000	.0556	.0556	.0559	0
07:14:30	3258	.1640	.0091	.1548	1	.0867	.0000	.0000	.0679	.0679	.0682	0

- Notice that Response time is always Dispatch time plus Suspend time. A task is always either Suspended or Dispatched.




SUSPSUM													
Start	#Tasks	Avg Response	Avg Dispatch	Avg Suspend	Avg Suspend	Avg DisplDly	Avg MXTDelay	Avg TCLDelay	Avg DispWait	Avg QRMdDly	Avg FC Wait	Avg FC Wait	Avg
Interval		Time	Time	Time	Count	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Count	
07:08:30	3228	.1113	.0092	.1021	1	.0605	.0000	.0000	.0414	.0414	.0416	0	
07:09:00	3276	.1562	.0091	.1471	1	.0830	.0000	.0000	.0638	.0638	.0641	0	
07:09:30	3228	.3328	.0093	.3234	1	.1698	.0000	.0000	.1525	.1524	.1528	0	
07:10:00	2285	2.1023	.0137	2.0886	1	1.0377	.0289	.0000	1.0375	1.0375	1.0076	0	
07:10:30	2105	1.5692	.0131	1.5561	1	.7964	.0083	.0000	.7540	.7540	.7083	0	
07:11:00	2384	1.1418	.0125	1.1293	1	.5423	.0434	.0000	.5813	.5813	.5195	0	
07:11:30	1945	3.4445	.0158	3.4287	1	1.8043	.3032	.0000	1.6064	1.6064	1.4462	0	
07:12:00	2446	2.4340	.0117	2.4223	1	1.2851	.1436	.0000	1.1246	1.1246	.9916	0	
07:12:30	3240	1.7993	.0091	1.7902	1	.9038	.0030	.0000	.8778	.8778	.8823	0	
07:13:00	3051	.6163	.0091	.6072	1	.3217	.0000	.0000	.2806	.2806	.2843	0	
07:13:30	3252	.0753	.0091	.0661	1	.0413	.0000	.0000	.0246	.0246	.0248	0	
07:14:00	3258	.1391	.0091	.1300	1	.0742	.0000	.0000	.0556	.0556	.0559	0	
07:14:30	3258	.1640	.0091	.1548	1	.0867	.0000	.0000	.0679	.0679	.0682	0	

- Get used to what is normal. Dispatch time is normally about .0091. That increases significantly during the problem intervals. Suspend time is normally about .1300. That increases significantly during the problem intervals.

SUSPSUM		Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg
Start	#Tasks	Response	Dispatch	Suspend	Suspend	DisplDly	MXTDelay	TCLDelay	DispWait	QRModDly	FC Wait	FC Wait
Interval		Time	Time	Time	Count	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Count
07:08:30	3228	.1113	.0092	.1021	1	.0605	.0000	.0000	.0414	.0414	.0416	0


DISPSUM		Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Total	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg
Start	#Tasks	Response	Suspend	Dispatch	User CPU	QR Disp	QR Disp	QR CPU	KY8 Disp	KY8 Disp	L8 CPU	MXTDelay
Interval		Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Count	Time	Time
07:08:30	3228	.1113	.1021	.0092	.0086	29.6445	.0092	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000



- Let's graph the 07:08:30 30-second interval. It is a normal, pre-problem interval.
- DisplDly is 60 milliseconds and there is no MXTDelay or TCLDelay. So all 60 milliseconds is dispatchable, waiting to run on the QR.

SUSPSUM		Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg
Start	#Tasks	Response	Dispatch	Suspend	Suspend	Disp1Dly	MXTDelay	TCLDelay	DispWait	QRModDly	FC Wait	FC Wait
Interval		Time	Time	Time	Count	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Count
07:08:30	3228	.1113	.0092	.1021	1	.0605	.0000	.0000	.0414	.0414	.0416	0


DISPSUM		Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Total	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg
Start	#Tasks	Response	Suspend	Dispatch	User	CPU	QR Disp	QR Disp	QR CPU	KY8 Disp	KY8 Disp	L8 CPU MXTDelay
Interval		Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Count	Time	Time
07:08:30	3228	.1113	.1021	.0092	.0086	29.6445	.0092	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000



- Suspend Time is 102 milliseconds and Disp1Dly is 60 milliseconds. So the remaining part of Suspend time is 42 milliseconds. Of that, 41 milliseconds is waiting for redispatch (DispWait) on the QR (QRModDly).
- So, almost the whole 102 millisecond suspend time is waiting to run on the QR. Clearly the QR TCB is a bottleneck, during normal intervals.

SUSPSUM		Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg
Start	#Tasks	Response	Dispatch	Suspend	Suspend	DisplDly	MXTDelay	TCLDelay	DispWait	QRModDly	FC Wait	FC Wait
Interval		Time	Time	Time	Count	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Count
07:08:30	3228	.1113	.0092	.1021	1	.0605	.0000	.0000	.0414	.0414	.0416	0

DISPSUM		Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Total	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg
Start	#Tasks	Response	Suspend	Dispatch	User CPU	QR Disp	QR Disp	QR CPU	KY8 Disp	KY8 Disp	L8 CPU	MXTDelay
Interval		Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Count	Time	Time
07:08:30	3228	.1113	.1021	.0092	.0086	29.6445	.0092	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000



- Dispatch Time is 9 milliseconds. Notice that QR Disp is the same. So we know that the transactions only ran on the QR TCB.
- User CPU (and QR CPU) round up to 9 milliseconds. So we'll make the whole 9 milliseconds dark green.

DISPSUM		Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Total	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg
Start	#Tasks	Response	Suspend	Dispatch	User CPU	QR Disp	QR Disp	QR CPU	KY8 Disp	KY8 Disp	L8 CPU	MXTDelay
Interval		Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Count	Time	Time
07:08:30	3228	.1113	.1021	.0092	.0086	29.6445	.0092	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000
07:09:00	3276	.1562	.1471	.0091	.0086	29.6774	.0091	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000
07:09:30	3228	.3328	.3234	.0093	.0088	30.1325	.0093	.0088	.0000	0	.0000	.0000
07:10:00	2285	2.1023	2.0886	.0137	.0115	31.3524	.0137	.0115	.0000	0	.0000	.0289
07:10:30	2105	1.5692	1.5561	.0131	.0115	27.4879	.0131	.0115	.0000	0	.0000	.0083
07:11:00	2384	1.1418	1.1293	.0125	.0115	29.8614	.0125	.0115	.0000	0	.0000	.0434
07:11:30	1945	3.4445	3.4287	.0158	.0117	30.8260	.0158	.0117	.0000	0	.0000	.3032
07:12:00	2446	2.4340	2.4223	.0117	.0106	28.6731	.0117	.0106	.0000	0	.0000	.1436
07:12:30	3240	1.7993	1.7902	.0091	.0086	29.5015	.0091	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0030
07:13:00	3051	.6163	.6072	.0091	.0086	27.8617	.0091	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000
07:13:30	3252	.0753	.0661	.0091	.0086	29.7519	.0091	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000
07:14:00	3258	.1391	.1300	.0091	.0086	29.6127	.0091	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000
07:14:30	3258	.1640	.1548	.0091	.0086	29.6975	.0091	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000

- Here is the DISPSUM form showing dispatch time fields.
- Notice that Dispatch Time and QR Disp Time are the same. That means that all processing is on the QR TCB.

DISPSUM		Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Total		Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg
Start	#Tasks	Response	Suspend	Dispatch	User	CPU	QR Disp	QR Disp	QR CPU	KY8 Disp	KY8 Disp	L8 CPU	MXTDelay
Interval		Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Count	Time	Time
07:08:30	3228	.1113	.1021	.0092	.0086	29.6445	.0092	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	
07:09:00	3276	.1562	.1471	.0091	.0086	29.6774	.0091	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	
07:09:30	3228	.3328	.3234	.0093	.0088	30.1325	.0093	.0088	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	
07:10:00	2285	2.1023	2.0886	.0137	.0115	31.3524	.0137	.0115	.0000	0	.0000	.0289	
07:10:30	2105	1.5692	1.5561	.0131	.0115	27.4879	.0131	.0115	.0000	0	.0000	.0083	
07:11:00	2384	1.1418	1.1293	.0125	.0115	29.8614	.0125	.0115	.0000	0	.0000	.0434	
07:11:30	1945	3.4445	3.4287	.0158	.0117	30.8260	.0158	.0117	.0000	0	.0000	.3032	
07:12:00	2446	2.4340	2.4223	.0117	.0106	28.6731	.0117	.0106	.0000	0	.0000	.1436	
07:12:30	3240	1.7993	1.7902	.0091	.0086	29.5015	.0091	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0030	
07:13:00	3051	.6163	.6072	.0091	.0086	27.8617	.0091	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	
07:13:30	3252	.0753	.0661	.0091	.0086	29.7519	.0091	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	
07:14:00	3258	.1391	.1300	.0091	.0086	29.6127	.0091	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	
07:14:30	3258	.1640	.1548	.0091	.0086	29.6975	.0091	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	

- This chart summarizes all the tasks that started during each 30-second interval. Notice how, even during the good intervals, Total QR Disp time is very close to 30 seconds. This is further evidence that the QR TCB is a bottleneck. That squares with how almost all of the Suspend time is waiting to run on the QR TCB.

DISPSUM		Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Total	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	
Start	#Tasks	Response	Suspend	Dispatch	User	CPU	QR Disp	QR Disp	QR CPU	KY8 Disp	KY8 Disp	L8 CPU	MXTDelay
Interval		Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Count	Time	Time
07:08:30	3228	.1113	.1021	.0092	.0086	29.6445	.0092	.0086	.0000		0	.0000	.0000
07:09:00	3276	.1562	.1471	.0091	.0086	29.6774	.0091	.0086	.0000		0	.0000	.0000
07:09:30	3228	.3328	.3234	.0093	.0088	30.1325	.0093	.0088	.0000		0	.0000	.0000
07:10:00	2285	2.1023	2.0886	.0137	.0115	31.3524	.0137	.0115	.0000		0	.0000	.0289
07:10:30	2105	1.5692	1.5561	.0131	.0115	27.4879	.0131	.0115	.0000		0	.0000	.0083
07:11:00	2384	1.1418	1.1293	.0125	.0115	29.8614	.0125	.0115	.0000		0	.0000	.0434
07:11:30	1945	3.4445	3.4287	.0158	.0117	30.8260	.0158	.0117	.0000		0	.0000	.3032
07:12:00	2446	2.4340	2.4223	.0117	.0106	28.6731	.0117	.0106	.0000		0	.0000	.1436
07:12:30	3240	1.7993	1.7902	.0091	.0086	29.5015	.0091	.0086	.0000		0	.0000	.0030
07:13:00	3051	.6163	.6072	.0091	.0086	27.8617	.0091	.0086	.0000		0	.0000	.0000
07:13:30	3252	.0753	.0661	.0091	.0086	29.7519	.0091	.0086	.0000		0	.0000	.0000
07:14:00	3258	.1391	.1300	.0091	.0086	29.6127	.0091	.0086	.0000		0	.0000	.0000
07:14:30	3258	.1640	.1548	.0091	.0086	29.6975	.0091	.0086	.0000		0	.0000	.0000

- How is it possible for tasks that ran in a 30-second interval to use more than 30 seconds of QR Disp time? It is because these intervals include all tasks that started within the interval. For example, tasks that started at 07:10:29.9 are included in the 07:10:00 interval even though all of their processing is after 07:10:30.

DISPSUM		Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Total	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg	Avg					
Start	#Tasks	Response	Suspend	Dispatch	User	CPU	QR	Disp	QR	Disp	KY8	Disp	KY8	Disp	L8	CPU	MXTDelay
Interval		Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Count	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time
07:08:30	3228	.1113	.1021	.0092	.0086	29.6445	.0092	.0086	.0000		0	.0000		.0000		.0000	.0000
07:09:00	3276	.1562	.1471	.0091	.0086	29.6774	.0091	.0086	.0000		0	.0000		.0000		.0000	.0000
07:09:30	3228	.3328	.3234	.0093	.0088	30.1325	.0093	.0088	.0000		0	.0000		.0000		.0000	.0000
07:10:00	2285	2.1023	2.0886	.0137	.0115	31.3524	.0137	.0115	.0000		0	.0000		.0000		.0000	.0289
07:10:30	2105	1.5692	1.5561	.0131	.0115	27.4879	.0131	.0115	.0000		0	.0000		.0000		.0000	.0083
07:11:00	2384	1.1418	1.1293	.0125	.0115	29.8614	.0125	.0115	.0000		0	.0000		.0000		.0000	.0434
07:11:30	1945	3.4445	3.4287	.0158	.0117	30.8260	.0158	.0117	.0000		0	.0000		.0000		.0000	.3032
07:12:00	2446	2.4340	2.4223	.0117	.0106	28.6731	.0117	.0106	.0000		0	.0000		.0000		.0000	.1436
07:12:30	3240	1.7993	1.7902	.0091	.0086	29.5015	.0091	.0086	.0000		0	.0000		.0000		.0000	.0030
07:13:00	3051	.6163	.6072	.0091	.0086	27.8617	.0091	.0086	.0000		0	.0000		.0000		.0000	.0000
07:13:30	3252	.0753	.0661	.0091	.0086	29.7519	.0091	.0086	.0000		0	.0000		.0000		.0000	.0000
07:14:00	3258	.1391	.1300	.0091	.0086	29.6127	.0091	.0086	.0000		0	.0000		.0000		.0000	.0000
07:14:30	3258	.1640	.1548	.0091	.0086	29.6975	.0091	.0086	.0000		0	.0000		.0000		.0000	.0000

- Notice how the QR CPU time and the QR Disp time both suddenly increase. Given that the suspend time is almost all waiting for dispatch on the QR, it is clear that this sudden increase in QR Disp time has something to do with causing the MXT.



Start	#Tasks	Avg Response	Avg Dispatch	Avg Suspend	Avg Suspend	Avg DisplDly	Avg MXTDelay	Avg TCLDelay	Avg DispWait	Avg QRModDly	Avg FC Wait	Avg FC Wait
Interval		Time	Time	Time	Count	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Count
07:09:55	108	.4217	.0093	.4124	1	.2163	.0000	.0000	.1959	.1959	.1961	0
07:09:56	108	.4078	.0090	.3988	1	.2099	.0000	.0000	.1887	.1887	.1889	0
07:09:57	108	.4226	.0091	.4136	1	.2106	.0000	.0000	.2027	.2027	.2029	0
07:09:58	94	.5417	.0121	.5296	1	.2645	.0000	.0000	.2650	.2650	.2652	0
07:09:59	80	.6383	.0130	.6253	1	.3111	.0000	.0000	.3140	.3140	.3142	0
07:10:00	88	.7077	.0124	.6954	1	.3449	.0000	.0000	.3502	.3502	.3504	0

Start	#Tasks	Avg Response	Avg Suspend	Avg Dispatch	Avg User	Avg CPU	Total QR	Avg Disp	Avg QR	Avg CPU	Avg KY8	Avg Disp	Avg KY8	Avg Disp	Avg L8	Avg CPU	Avg MXTDelay
Interval		Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Count	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time
07:09:55	108	.4217	.4124	.0093	.0086	1.0027	.0093	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
07:09:56	108	.4078	.3988	.0090	.0086	.9758	.0090	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
07:09:57	108	.4226	.4136	.0091	.0086	.9801	.0091	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
07:09:58	94	.5417	.5296	.0121	.0115	1.1383	.0121	.0115	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
07:09:59	80	.6383	.6253	.0130	.0115	1.0429	.0130	.0115	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
07:10:00	88	.7077	.6954	.0124	.0116	1.0879	.0124	.0116	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000

- Here we have the transactions summarized on 1-second intervals.
- With this we see that the point where the QR Disp and QR CPU times suddenly increased is actually at 07:09:58.

Start	#Tasks	Avg Response	Avg Dispatch	Avg Suspend	Avg Suspend	Avg DisplDly	Avg MXTDelay	Avg TCLDelay	Avg DispWait	Avg QRModDly	Avg FC Wait	Avg FC Wait
Interval		Time	Time	Time	Count	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Count
07:09:55	108	.4217	.0093	.4124	1	.2163	.0000	.0000	.1959	.1959	.1961	0
07:09:56	108	.4078	.0090	.3988	1	.2099	.0000	.0000	.1887	.1887	.1889	0
07:09:57	108	.4226	.0091	.4136	1	.2106	.0000	.0000	.2027	.2027	.2029	0
07:09:58	94	.5417	.0121	.5296	1	.2645	.0000	.0000	.2650	.2650	.2652	0
07:09:59	80	.6383	.0130	.6253	1	.3111	.0000	.0000	.3140	.3140	.3142	0
07:10:00	88	.7077	.0124	.6954	1	.3449	.0000	.0000	.3502	.3502	.3504	0

Start	#Tasks	Avg Response	Avg Suspend	Avg Dispatch	Avg User	Avg CPU	Total QR	Avg Disp	Avg QR	Avg CPU	Avg KY8	Avg Disp	Avg KY8	Avg Disp	Avg L8	Avg CPU	Avg MXTDelay
Interval		Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Count	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time
07:09:55	108	.4217	.4124	.0093	.0086	1.0027	.0093	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
07:09:56	108	.4078	.3988	.0090	.0086	.9758	.0090	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
07:09:57	108	.4226	.4136	.0091	.0086	.9801	.0091	.0086	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
07:09:58	94	.5417	.5296	.0121	.0115	1.1383	.0121	.0115	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
07:09:59	80	.6383	.6253	.0130	.0115	1.0429	.0130	.0115	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
07:10:00	88	.7077	.6954	.0124	.0116	1.0879	.0124	.0116	.0000	0	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000

- Prior to 07:09:58, there was a balance between transaction arrival rate and QR Disp time. Just enough transactions were arriving to keep the QR TCB totally busy. The 33% increase in QR Disp per task breaks that balance. Now the transactions are arriving faster than they can get their QR TCB time. So they back up.

# RMFIII

- The problem is caused by transactions in IYNXK suddenly starting to use significantly more CPU at 7:09:58.
- Maybe RMFIII will yield some clues to help explain why that happened.



```

. RMF Monitor III Primary Menu z/OS V1R12 RMF .
. Selection ==> 2 .
. . . . .
. Enter selection number or command on selection line. .
. . . . .
. S SYSPLEX Sysplex reports and Data Index (SP) .
. 1 OVERVIEW WFEF, SYSINFO, and Detail reports (OV) .
. 2 JOBS All information about job delays (JS) .
. 3 RESOURCE Processor, Device, Enqueue, and Storage (RS) .
. 4 SUBS Subsystem information for HSM, JES, and XCF (SUB) .
. . . . .
. U USER User-written reports (add your own ...) (US) .
. . . . .
. O OPTIONS T TUTORIAL X EXIT .
. . . . .
. 5694-A01 Copyright IBM Corp. 1986, 2010. All Rights Reserved .
. Licensed Materials - Property of IBM .
. . . . .
. F1=HELP F2=SPLIT F3=END F4=RETURN F5=RFIND F6=TOGGLE .
. F7=UP F8=DOWN F9=SWAP F10=BREF F11=FREF F12=RETRIEVE .

```

- Type '2' for Selection and press ENTER.

```

.          RMF Job Report Selection Menu          .
. Selection ==> 5                                .
.          .                                     .
. Enter selection number or command and jobname for desired job report. .
.          .                                     .
. Jobname ==> IYNXK                               .
.          .                                     .
. 1 DEVJ          Delay caused by devices          (DVJ) .
. 1A DSNJ          .. Data set level                (DSJ) .
. 2 ENQJ          Delay caused by ENQ                (EJ) .
. 3 HSMJ          Delay caused by HSM                (HJ) .
. 4 JESJ          Delay caused by JES                (JJ) .
. 5 JOB           Delay caused by primary reason      (DELAYJ) .
. 6 MNTJ          Delay caused by volume mount        (MTJ) .
. 7 MSGJ          Delay caused by operator reply      (MSJ) .
. 8 PROCJ          Delay caused by processor          (PJ) .
. 9 QSCJ          Delay caused by QUIESCE via RESET command (QJ) .
. 10 STORJ         Delay caused by storage            (SJ) .
. 11 XCFJ          Delay caused by XCF                (XJ) .
.          .                                     .
. These reports can also be selected by placing the cursor on the .
. corresponding delay reason column of the DELAY or JOB reports and .
. pressing ENTER or by using the commands from any panel. .
.          .                                     .
. F1=HELP      F2=SPLIT      F3=END      F4=RETURN      F5=RFIND      F6=TOGGLE .
. F7=UP        F8=DOWN       F9=SWAP     F10=BREF       F11=FREF       F12=RETRIEVE .

```

- Type '5' for Selection and 'IYNXK' for Jobname and press ENTER.

RMF V1R12 Job Delays										Line 1 of 3									
Command ==>										Scroll ==> CSR									
Samples: 100										System: MV23 Date: 05/28/12 Time: 07.08.20 Range: 100 Sec									
Job: IYNXK										Primary delay: Job is waiting to use the processor.									
Probable causes: 1) Higher priority work is using the system.																			
2) Improperly tuned dispatching priorities.																			
----- Jobs Holding the Processor -----																			
Job: IYNXJ										Job: RH23MSTR									
Holding: 4%										Holding: 2%									
PROC Using: 9%										PROC Using: 2%									
DEV Using: 0%										DEV Using: 0%									
----- Job Performance Summary -----																			
Service										WFL -Using%- DLY IDL UKN ---- % Delayed for ---- Primary									
CX ASID Class P Cr %										PRC DEV % % % PRC DEV STR SUB OPR ENQ Reason									
BO 0066 BATCH *										91 91 1 9 0 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 IYNXJ									
BATCH 1										92 57 1 5 0 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 IYNXJ									
BATCH 2										89 34 0 4 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 IYNXJ									
F1=HELP F2=SPLIT F3=END F4=RETURN F5=RFIND F6=TOGGLE																			
F7=UP F8=DOWN F9=SWAP F10=BREF F11=FREF F12=RETRIEVE																			

- Note the Time towards the upper right corner. You can use F10 and F11 to scroll backwards and forwards through time.
- Note the Range. That is the number of seconds in the interval.
- On this page, the information covers from 07.08.20 to 07.10.00.

```

RMF V1R12 Job Delays                               Line 1 of 3
Command ==>                                         Scroll ==> CSR
Samples: 100      System: MV23 Date: 05/28/12 Time: 07.08.20 Range: 100 Sec
Job: IYNXK      Primary delay: Job is waiting to use the processor.
Probable causes: 1) Higher priority work is using the system.
                  2) Improperly tuned dispatching priorities.

----- Jobs Holding the Processor -----
Job:      IYNXJ      Job:      RH23MSTR      Job:      OMEGTEMS
Holding:      4%      Holding:      2%      Holding:      1%
PROC Using:    9%      PROC Using:    2%      PROC Using:    1%
DEV Using:     0%      DEV Using:     0%      DEV Using:     0%

----- Job Performance Summary -----
Service      WFL -Using%- DLY IDL UKN ---- % Delayed for ---- Primary
CX ASID Class P Cr %  PRC DEV %  %  %  PRC DEV STR SUB OPR ENQ Reason
BO 0066 BATCH *   91  91  1  9  0  0  9  0  0  0  0  0 IYNXJ
      BATCH  1   92  57  1  5  0  0  5  0  0  0  0  0 IYNXJ
      BATCH  2   89  34  0  4  0  0  4  0  0  0  0  0 IYNXJ

F1=HELP      F2=SPLIT      F3=END      F4=RETURN      F5=RFIND      F6=TOGGLE
F7=UP        F8=DOWN        F9=SWAP      F10=BREF      F11=FREF      F12=RETRIEVE

```

- You can also overtype Time to get to the time you want.
- When you do that, keep an eye on Range. It might double. Overtyping Range to get it back to the smaller Range.

```

RMF V1R12 Job Delays
Line 1 of 3

Command ==>
Scroll ==> CSR

Samples: 100      System: MV23 Date: 05/28/12 Time: 07.08.20 Range: 100 Sec

Job: IYNXK      Primary delay: Job is waiting to use the processor.

Probable causes: 1) Higher priority work is using the system.
                  2) Improperly tuned dispatching priorities.

----- Jobs Holding the Processor -----
Job: IYNXJ      Job: RH23MSTR      Job: OMEGTEMS
Holding: 4%      Holding: 2%      Holding: 1%
PROC Using: 9%      PROC Using: 2%      PROC Using: 1%
DEV Using: 0%      DEV Using: 0%      DEV Using: 0%

----- Job Performance Summary -----
Service      WFL -Using%- DLY IDL UKN ---- % Delayed for ---- Primary
CX ASID Class P Cr % PRC DEV % % % PRC DEV STR SUB OPR ENQ Reason
BO 0066 BATCH * 91 91 1 9 0 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 IYNXJ
      BATCH 1 92 57 1 5 0 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 IYNXJ
      BATCH 2 89 34 0 4 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 IYNXJ

F1=HELP      F2=SPLIT      F3=END      F4=RETURN      F5=RFIND      F6=TOGGLE
F7=UP        F8=DOWN        F9=SWAP      F10=BREF      F11=FREF      F12=RETRIEVE

```

- 07.08.20 is the 100 second interval before the MXT began. (MXT began right around 07.10.08. The suddenly higher CPU began at 07.09.58.)
- IYNXK is using 91% Processor and is delayed 9% for processor. IYNXJ is using 9% Processor.



```

RMF V1R12 Job Delays Line 1 of 1
Command ==> Scroll ==> CSR
Samples: 100 System: MV23 Date: 05/28/12 Time: 07.10.00 Range: 100 Sec
Job: IYNXK Primary delay: Job is waiting to use the processor.
Probable causes: 1) Higher priority work is using the system.
                  2) Improperly tuned dispatching priorities.

----- Jobs Holding the Processor -----
Job: IYNXJ Job: OMPROUTE Job: DI23IRLM
Holding: 4% Holding: 1% Holding: 1%
PROC Using: 91% PROC Using: 1% PROC Using: 1%
DEV Using: 2% DEV Using: 0% DEV Using: 0%

----- Job Performance Summary -----
Service WFL -Using%- DLY IDL UKN ---- % Delayed for ---- Primary
CX ASID Class P Cr % PRC DEV % % % PRC DEV STR SUB OPR ENQ Reason
BO 0066 BATCH 1 93 91 1 7 0 2 5 0 0 0 0 2 IYNXJ

F1=HELP F2=SPLIT F3=END F4=RETURN F5=RFIND F6=TOGGLE
F7=UP F8=DOWN F9=SWAP F10=BREF F11=FREF F12=RETRIEVE

```

- The 07.10.00 interval is mostly all in the MXT period. IYNXK hasn't changed much.
- But IYNXJ is using 91% processor. That is a lot more than the prior interval. Let's have a look at CPU.

```
. RMF Monitor III Primary Menu z/OS V1R12 RMF .
. Selection ==> 3 .
. Enter selection number or command on selection line. .
. .
. S SYSPLEX Sysplex reports and Data Index (SP) .
. 1 OVERVIEW WFEF, SYSINFO, and Detail reports (OV) .
. 2 JOBS All information about job delays (JS) .
. 3 RESOURCE Processor, Device, Enqueue, and Storage (RS) .
. 4 SUBS Subsystem information for HSM, JES, and XCF (SUB) .
. U USER User-written reports (add your own ...) (US) .
. .
. O OPTIONS T TUTORIAL X EXIT .
. 5694-A01 Copyright IBM Corp. 1986, 2010. All Rights Reserved .
. Licensed Materials - Property of IBM .
. .
. F1=HELP F2=SPLIT F3=END F4=RETURN F5=RFIND F6=TOGGLE .
. F7=UP F8=DOWN F9=SWAP F10=BREF F11=FREF F12=RETRIEVE .
```

- Type '3' for Selection and press ENTER.

```

RMF Resource Report Selection Menu
.
. Selection ==> 1A
.
. Enter selection number or command for desired report.
.
. Processor      1 PROC      Processor delays          (PD)
.                1A PROCU    Processor usage           (PU)
.
. Device         2 DEV       Device delays             (DD)
.                3 DEVR      Device resource            (DR)
.                3A DSND     .. Data set level by DSN    (DSN)
.                3B DSNV     .. Data set level by volume (DSV)
.
. Enqueue        4 ENQ       Enqueue delays             (ED)
.                5 ENQR      Enqueue resource           (ER)
.
. Storage         6 STOR      Storage delays for each job (SD)
.                7 STORF     Storage usage by frames     (SF)
.                7A STORM    Storage usage by memory objects (SM)
.                8 STORR     Storage usage for each resource (SR)
.                9 STORS     Storage summary for each group (SS)
.               10 STORC     Common storage summary       (SC)
.               11 STORCR    Common storage remaining     (SCR)
.
. I/O Subsystem 12 CHANNEL   Channel path activity       (CH)
.                13 IOQUEUE  I/O queuing activity        (IQ)
.
.
. F1=HELP      F2=SPLIT    F3=END      F4=RETURN   F5=RFIND   F6=TOGGLE
. F7=UP        F8=DOWN     F9=SWAP     F10=BREF    F11=FREF   F12=RETRIEVE

```

- Type '1A' for Selection and press ENTER.

RMF V1R12 Processor Usage Line 1 of 21

Command ==> Scroll ==> CSR

Samples: 100 System: MV23 Date: 05/28/12 Time: 07.08.20 Range: 100 Sec

Jobname	CX Class	Service	Total	AAP	IIP	CP	AAP	IIP
IYNXK	BO	BATCH	94.0	0.0	0.0	94.0		
IYNXJ	BO	IYNXJCLS	3.2	0.0	0.0	3.2		
WLM	S	SYSTEM	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0		
XCFAS	S	SYSTEM	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.9		
RMFGAT	SO	STC	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.8		
OMEGTEMS	SO	STCUSER	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.6		
GRS	S	SYSTEM	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.4		
NETVIEW	SO	STCFAST	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.4		
OMEGCON	SO	STC	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3		
SMSVSAM	S	SYSTEM	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1		
ZFS	S	SYSSTC	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1		
JES2	S	STC	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1		
RG23IRLM	S	STC	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1		
WJBMS41Z	BO	BATCH	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1		
WJBCM41B	BO	BATCH	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1		
WJBCM32B	BO	BATCH	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1		

F1=HELP F2=SPLIT F3=END F4=RETURN F5=RFIND F6=TOGGLE

F7=UP F8=DOWN F9=SWAP F10=BREF F11=FREF F12=RETRIEVE

- With the Time set to 07.08.20, the interval before the MXT, we see that IYNXK was using most of a processor, and IYNXJ was using 3 percent of a processor.
- Press F11 to go to the next interval.

RMF V1R12 Processor Usage						Line 1 of 28	
Command ==>				Scroll ==> CSR			
Samples: 100		System: MV23		Date: 05/28/12		Time: 07.10.00 Range: 100 Sec	
Service		--- Time on CP % ---			----- EAppl % -----		
Jobname	CX Class	Total	AAP	IIP	CP	AAP	IIP
IYNXJ	BO IYNXJCLS	91.4	0.0	0.0	91.4		
IYNXK	BO BATCH	87.6	0.0	0.0	87.6		
DUMPSRV	S SYSTEM	2.9	0.0	0.0	2.9		
IXGLOGR	S SYSTEM	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.1		
XCFAS	S SYSTEM	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0		
WLM	S SYSTEM	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0		
RMFGAT	SO STC	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.8		
OMEGTEMS	SO STCUSER	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.6		
GRS	S SYSTEM	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.5		
OMEGCON	SO STC	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3		
NETVIEW	SO STCFAST	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3		
CATALOG	S SYSTEM	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2		
*MASTER*	S SYSTEM	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1		
RASP	S SYSTEM	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1		
SMSVSAM	S SYSTEM	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1		
CONSOLE	S SYSTEM	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1		
F1=HELP	F2=SPLIT	F3=END	F4=RETURN	F5=RFIND	F6=TOGGLE		
F7=UP	F8=DOWN	F9=SWAP	F10=BREF	F11=FREF	F12=RETRIEVE		

- During the MXT interval, IYNXJ is also using most of a processor.
- Could that cause transactions in IYNXK to use more CPU?

# Systrace Perfdata

- Systrace Perfdata is an IPCS command that gives similar information to RMFIII regarding how much CPU is being used and what jobs are using it.
- Systrace Perfdata is new and newly documented at z/OS 1.12.
- We'll look at the SLIP dump triggered by the "Above\_80\_percent\_of\_MXT" message.
- We'll look at the dump of IYNXK taken after the problem was over, while it was doing its normal workload.



```

----- IPCS Subcommand Entry -----
Enter a free-form IPCS subcommand or a CLIST or REXX exec invocation below:

==> systrace perfdata

----- IPCS Subcommands and Abbreviations -----
ADDUMP      | DROPDUMP, DROPD | LISTDUMP, LDMP | RENUM, REN
ANALYZE     | DROPMAP, DROPM  | LISTMAP, LMAP  | RUNCHAIN, RUNC
ARCHECK     | DROPSYM, DROPS  | LISTSYM, LSYM  | SCAN
ASCBEXIT, ASCBX | EPTRACE          | LISTUCB, LISTU | SELECT
ASMCHECK, ASMK | EQUATE, EQU, EQ | LITERAL        | SETDEF, SETD
CBFORMAT, CBF  | FIND, F          | LPAMAP         | STACK
CBSTAT       | FINDMOD, FMOD    | MERGE          | STATUS, ST
CLOSE        | FINDUCB, FINDU   | NAME           | SUMMARY, SUMM
COPYDDIR     | GTFTRACE, GTF    | NAMETOKN       | SYSTRACE
COPYDUMP     | INTEGER          | NOTE, N        | TCBEXIT, TCBX
COPYTRC      | IPCS HELP, H     | OPEN           | VERBEXIT, VERBX
CTRACE       | LIST, L          | PROFILE, PROF  | WHERE, W

F1=HELP  F2=SPLIT  F3=END    F4=RETURN  F5=RFIND  F6=MORE  F7=UP
F8=DOWN  F9=SWAP   F10=LEFT  F11=RIGHT  F12=CURSOR

```

- This is on the SLIP dump.
- ENTER systrace perfdata

```

***** TOP OF DATA *****

Note: Only SYSTRACE records available for ALL PROCESSORS are considered.

System: MV23 SP7.1.2 HBB7770

PERFDATA Analysis:

CPU#   Went from      To      Seconds   SRB Time   TCB Time   Idle Time   CPU Overhead
-----
01 06:10:13.999836 06:10:14.912297   0.912460   0.008004   0.899153   0.000000   0.724603
00 06:10:14.000223 06:10:14.912581   0.912358   0.005718   0.900525   0.000000   0.720400
-----
                        1.824819   0.013722   1.799678   0.000000   1.445004

SRB time      :    0.013722
TCB time      :    1.799678
Idle time     :    0.000000
CPU Overhead  :    1.445004
-----
Total :      1.824819

F1=HELP  F2=SPLIT  F3=END  F4=RETURN  F5=RFIND  F6=MORE  F7=UP  F8=DOWN  F9=SWAP  F10=LEFT  F11=RIGHT

```

- Systrace Perfddata processes the system trace.
- We see that there are 2 processors doing work in the system trace.
- And each of those has trace covering about .9 seconds from 06:10:14.0 to 06:10:14.9.



\*\*\*\*\* TOP OF DATA \*\*\*\*\*

Note: Only SYSTRACE records available for ALL PROCESSORS are considered.

System: MV23 SP7.1.2 HBB7770

PERFDATA Analysis:

CPU#	Went from	To	Seconds	SRB Time	TCB Time	Idle Time	CPU Overhead
01	06:10:13.999836	06:10:14.912297	0.912460	0.008004	0.899153	0.000000	0.724603
00	06:10:14.000223	06:10:14.912581	0.912358	0.005718	0.900525	0.000000	0.720400
			1.824819	0.013722	1.799678	0.000000	1.445004
SRB time	:		0.013722				
TCB time	:		1.799678				
Idle time	:		0.000000				
CPU Overhead	:		1.445004				
Total	:		1.824819				

F1=HELP F2=SPLIT F3=END F4=RETURN F5=RFIND F6=MORE F7=UP F8=DOWN F9=SWAP F10=LEFT F11=RIGHT

- Idle Time of 0.000000 means that both processors were totally busy during the .9 seconds of systrace. There was never a moment when either had nothing to do.
- Use F8 to scroll down to see what jobs are using those 1.8 seconds of CPU time.

```

Found 54 address spaces in SYSTRACE.
Found 116 SRB and SSRB PSWs in SYSTRACE.

CPU breakdown by ASID:

ASID Jobname      SRB Time      TCB Time      Total Time
-----
0043 IYNXJ        0.001483      0.885384      0.886868
000B WLM          0.000609      0.009490      0.010100
0042 IYNXK        0.000498      0.863902      0.864401
0001 *MASTER*     0.000118      0.000309      0.000427
00A4 TCPIP        0.000824      0.000381      0.001206
009A RMFGAT       0.000020      0.013223      0.013244
0006 XCFAS        0.001730      0.003591      0.005322
0036 JES2MON      0.000400      0.000456      0.000856
00A2 IYCNCCTGC    0.000024      0.000087      0.000111
009E C660CI23     0.000029      0.000079      0.000109
002E TN3270       0.000785      0.000244      0.001029
002F TN3270T2     0.000243      0.000253      0.000497
001C SMF          0.000577      0.000000      0.000577
00B9 RSED9        0.000014      0.000088      0.000102
00BE RSED7        0.000007      0.000032      0.000039
00B6 LOCKD        0.000006      0.000033      0.000040
F1=HELP  F2=SPLIT  F3=END    F4=RETURN F5=RFIND  F6=MORE  F7=UP    F8=DOWN

```

- Here we see that IYNXJ and IYNXK are together using up most of the 1.8 seconds of CPU time. They are each using most of a processor.
- Now let's take a look at the normal dump.

\*\*\*\*\* TOP OF DATA \*\*\*\*\*

Note: Only SYSTRACE records available for ALL PROCESSORS are considered.

System: MV23 SP7.1.2 HBB7770

PERFDATA Analysis:

CPU#	Went from	To	Seconds	SRB Time	TCB Time	Idle Time	CPU Overhead
00	06:15:23.897968	06:15:25.607760	1.709791	0.038181	0.900989	0.765688	0.718370
01	06:15:23.906162	06:15:25.607608	1.701445	0.032215	0.895750	0.768634	0.751313
			3.411237	0.070397	1.796739	1.534323	1.469683

SRB time : 0.070397

TCB time : 1.796739

Idle time : 1.534323

CPU Overhead : 1.469683

Total : 3.411237

F1=HELP F2=SPLIT F3=END F4=RETURN F5=RFIND F6=MORE F7=UP F8=DOWN F9=SWAP F10=LEFT F11=RIGHT

- Here we see that each processor covers about 1.7 seconds of time.
- And we see there is significant Idle time, almost 1 processors worth of idle time.
- Scroll down to the next page.

```

Found 84 address spaces in SYSTRACE.
Found 207 SRB and SSRB PSWs in SYSTRACE.

CPU breakdown by ASID:

ASID Jobname      SRB Time      TCB Time      Total Time
-----
0042 IYNXK        0.000904      1.631235      1.632140
0043 IYNXJ        0.003651      0.044663      0.048315
0036 JES2MON      0.000765      0.000869      0.001634
009B DG23DBM1     0.000064      0.000083      0.000148
0001 *MASTER*     0.000252      0.000759      0.001011
0095 RMF          0.000137      0.001197      0.001334
001C SMF          0.001284      0.000000      0.001284
000B WLM          0.000965      0.021250      0.022216
00A4 TCP/IP       0.001359      0.000625      0.001985
002C DI23MSTR     0.000237      0.000482      0.000719
002E TN3270       0.000619      0.000444      0.001063
002F TN3270T2     0.000384      0.000403      0.000787
0006 XCFAS        0.024349      0.001524      0.025873
0012 JESXCF       0.000406      0.000293      0.000700
0026 JES2         0.000087      0.000727      0.000815
0009 SMSVSAM      0.000448      0.001273      0.001721

F1=HELP  F2=SPLIT  F3=END  F4=RETURN  F5=RFIND  F6=MORE  F7=UP  F8=DOWN

```

- IYNXK is using about 1 processors worth of CPU. And that is about it.
- So that squares with RMFIII. During the problem, IYNXJ and IYNXK are each using most of a processor. Before and after the problem, IYNXK is using about 1 processor and the other processor is pretty much idle.

## And the answer is.....

- It looks like the LPAR is about 50% busy when everything is fine. And it is 100% busy when the problem happens. Can that cause transactions to suddenly use 33% more CPU?
- Clues point us to IYNXJ. Let's take a look at the SMF110 data there to see what suddenly started using CPU.



Start	Tran	#Tasks	Avg Response Time	Avg Suspend Time	Avg Dispatch Time	Avg User Time	Avg CPU Time	Total QR Disp Time	Avg QR Disp Time	Total QR CPU Time	Total KY8 Disp Time	Avg KY8 Disp Count	Total L8 CPU Time
07:08:11	CECI	1	245.4272	245.4141	.0131	.0046	.0131	.0131	.0131	.0046	.0000	0	.0000
07:09:58	SOAK	12	.0836	.0302	.0534	.0485	.0153	.0013	.0042	.6260		3	.5773
07:09:59	SOAK	19	.0771	.0241	.0530	.0484	.0171	.0009	.0061	.9897		3	.9129
07:10:00	SOAK	17	.0972	.0345	.0627	.0482	.0299	.0018	.0062	1.0355		3	.8134
07:10:01	SOAK	19	.0823	.0265	.0559	.0490	.0240	.0013	.0069	1.0377		4	.9240
07:10:02	SOAK	19	.0847	.0299	.0548	.0486	.0213	.0011	.0063	1.0202		4	.9172
07:10:03	SOAK	18	.0871	.0309	.0562	.0475	.0142	.0008	.0060	.9971		3	.8497
07:10:04	SOAK	19	.0796	.0257	.0539	.0486	.0234	.0012	.0062	1.0008		4	.9174

- This is a slightly tweaked DISPSUM form summarizing on 1-second intervals in IYNXJ.
- At exactly 07:09:58, SOAK transactions began.
- They are using a total of about .9 seconds of CPU per second, almost a whole processor. So that is why IYNXJ suddenly started using about 1 processors worth of CPU.

- The SOAK transaction does a loop of about 15 EXEC CICS GETMAIN followed by EXEC CICS FREEMAIN to get and free 20 Meg of EDSA, and it specifies INITIMG.
- INITIMG causes CICS, on every getmain, to write to every page of that 20 Meg.
- Part of the reason IYNXK transactions suddenly use more CPU is because the LPAR suddenly goes from 50% busy to 100% busy. At 50% busy as compared to 100% busy, the high-speed cache is more likely to always contain the pages of storage the instructions need. That is even more true given the fact that the SOAK transactions in IYNXJ are constantly writing to 20 Meg of storage. The constantly touching of the 20 Meg is making it so that the IYNXK transactions are constantly finding that the storage they need is not in the high-speed cache. That slows the IYNXK transactions down.



## So what did you get?

- A neat new tool to put out console messages to expose MXT and near MXT
- A way to get a dump on MXT or near MXT
- A CICS Dispatcher refresher
- A way to approach response time spikes using SMF110 data
- A taste of how to make use of RMFIII
- A new IPCS tool: systrace perfdata
- An interesting reason why average CPU per transaction may vary from moment to moment





# Questions and Answers

