



Securing the Unknown: Theoretical Limits of Big Data

Reg Harbeck, Mainframe Analytics Brian Cummings Tata Consultancy Services

Wednesday, March 12, 2014: 08:00 AM - 09:00 AM Session 14752





Copyright (c) 2014 by SHARE Inc. C (i) (S) (i) Creative otherwise noted, this work is licensed under http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Who We Are



- Brian Cummings
 - Currently working at Tata Consultancy Services
 - Mainframe security expert with 30+ years' experience
 - Information Security & Risk Strategist
 - GRC and Enterprise Risk Management Evangelist
 - Advocate for Risk-Balanced Solutions & Controls
 - Focus on RACF, Architecture, GRC, Big Data, Compliance, Privacy





Who We Are

• Reg Harbeck

- Currently Chief Strategist at Mainframe Analytics ltd.
- 25+ years in systems, networks, applications, security on mainframe and other platforms
- Expertise in all three z/OS security packages, regulatory compliance





Plan of Attack

- Context and Concepts
- Unmeasurability, incompleteness, uncertainty and other challenges in securing big data
- The Hitch Hiker's Guide to an ongoing response to these issues





Big Data

- Some relational
- Some unstructured
- Some multimedia
- Varying and increasing confidentiality, sensitivity and validity
- Few hard edges
- Consequences of exposure unpredictably severe
 - Mapping regulations and laws non-trivial





The Big Data "Value Chain"





Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle

- Can't measure without changing beyond a certain granularity
- Relevance to big data:
 - More and more expensive to properly characterize nature and criticality of data
 - More awareness = more responsibility
 - Less awareness = greater exposure
 - Cannot have perfect awareness and control, but regulations and headlines assume it

$$\Delta p \ \Delta x \ge \frac{1}{2} \ \hbar$$

 $\Delta E \ \Delta t \ \geq \frac{1}{2} \ \hbar$

P=momentum X=position Big Data environments will be highly dynamic...taking in new data, aggregating data, analyzing data, producing predictions and actionable insights. The "CIA" of various data elements will be difficult to define and evaluate.





Anaheim

Context and Concepts



8 Complete your session evaluations online at www.SHARE.org/AnaheimEval



- The Halting Problem
 - The only way to know whether an arbitrary simple program ever finishes running is to run it. In running it, if it doesn't stop, you don't know if it ever will (halt)
 - Implications for big data:
 - Can't generically predict for an arbitrary block of data how sensitive it is or how much processing it will take to properly secure it
 - Security becomes a journey without a knowable end
 - Can't accept unlimited processing time to determine how to best secure a given mass of data



Will there be a steady-state in Big Data environments...a point at which data stops changing? Likely not, and you can't wait to see if it ever reaches that state. Don't let the pursuit of perfection get in the way of doing something.





- Schrödinger's cat
 - Mathematically, it's 50% dead until you look inside the box, i.e., both dead and alive
 - Implications for big data:
 - Without measuring/examining a given mass of data, you can only assign probabilities of its sensitivity and the effort to secure it
 - Once the effort and time are taken to measure/determine its actual sensitivity, the odds are no longer relevant for the specific, but improve generic characterization in the future
 - If you don't measure a specific mass of data, hackers and headlines might

We face a conundrum in the transmutation of data as it moves through the Big Data value chain. How to secure something as it continually evolves. Is it or isn't it sensitive?





- Don't Panic it won't help
- SHARE your journey
- "Write up" problem when in doubt secure more
- Once Schrödinger's cat's out of the bag, it's too late
- Security after the fact is a sitting duck
- Security before the fact is somewhere between denial of service/access and a discouragement of future involvement
- Current motivational thinking about Quantum Physics suggests to predict an outcome, choose it





- Discussion re Heisenberg:
 - What are your experiences with uncertainty about security and measurement of data sensitivity?
 - Practical approaches to balancing generic/specific in characterizing and securing data?







- Discussion re Gödel's Incompleteness Theorem
 - What are your experiences with mobius loops between data, metadata, and sensitivity?
 - Practical approaches to cutting such Gordian knots?









- Discussion re Halting Problem
 - What are your experiences with predicting complex sensitivity of data?
 - Practical approaches to quickly determining sensitivity of arbitrary masses of big data?



Forbes 9/24/2012





- Discussion re Schrödinger's cat
 - What are your experiences with characterizing vs measuring the sensitivity of data?
 - Practical approaches to balancing effort to secure arbitrary data with high need to know and high sensitivity without getting stuck in a fractal vortex







Conclusions and Take-Aways

- Security is a journey, not a destination
- Important to SHARE your insights
- Balance need-to-know, sensitivity, resource-intensivity, timeliness, and potential regulatory, headline and hacker exposure
- Attitude, action, attention, adjustment... repeat

With the uncertainties presented by Big Data environments, can a case be made to tightly restrict those environments? The value of analytical results, predictions, and actionable insights will be quite high. How is aggregated data validated?
How is the integrity of analytical results validated? Would it make sense to publish these analytical results and recommendations to a DRM solution?
We have much to learn, but we know we need to be concerned and protective.

Details? See Brian's "Big Data – Big Security Risk" SHARE presentation.





Thank You!





17 Complete your session evaluations online at www.SHARE.org/AnaheimEval