



Session #14228

Monday, August 12 at 11:00 am Hynes Convention Center Room 207

Paul R. Robichaux





Copyright (c) 2013 by SHARE Inc. 💿 😧 🏵 🎯 Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Abstract and Speaker



• Information System Security, the freedom from loss and/or misuse of data and/or information services, and the System Professionals charged with protecting the zEnterprise are consistently under attack from an every evolving set of persistent external and internal threats and the often unintended consequences of threats that emerge from regulatory and/or technological changes.

• More likely then not, the tools and methods used to detect and defend against these mutating threats are inadequate, often out-of-date. Accepted reasons for this *"Risky State of Affairs"* supports only the status quo, none should be considered reasonable or acceptable.

• Failure to evolve our defenses and responses at a pace as fast or faster then the threats they defend against places the integrity of the zEnterprise in an unacceptable state of risk.

• This presentation will provide insight into:

First, How to use z/OS Comm Server Policy Based Management to defend against External Threats.

Second, How to adapt ISPF Services to better Manage and Control Threats to z/OS Configurations.

Third, How to use Xbridge and Vanguard Tools and Services to comply with regulatory requirements.

Fourth, How to put the future of Information System Security into perspective.

• Paul R. Robichaux is CEO of NewEra Software, Inc. He served as the Chief Financial Officer of Boole and Babbage for the ten years immediately preceding his co-founding of NewEra in 1990. He holds a BS in Accounting and a Masters in Business Administration from a Louisiana State University and is a Certified Public Accountant.

• The corporate mission of NewEra Software is to provide software solutions that help users avoid noncompliance, make corrections as needed and in doing so, continuously improve z/OS integrity.





in Boston

Securable Why an IBM Mainframe? > Most Reliable, Available, Serviceable





Why an IBM Mainframe? Lowest Total Cost of Ownership!

Industry	RGU*	Mainframe	Distributed	% Difference	
Retail	Location	\$ 421,346.000	\$ 560,300.000	24.7 🗼	
Auto	Vehicle	275.000	370.000	25.7 🗸	
Banking ¹	Transaction	.120	.350	65.7 🗸	
Web	Click-Through	.046	.041	12.2	

* RGU = Revenue Generating Unit

¹ Of the World's 60 Largest Banks, 59 use Mainframes running z/OS

Source: Dr. John Shedletsky – IBM Technical World – Reporting on Gartner Group Findings





This is all about "How To"!

- ☑ Understand the "Real Threats" to zEnterprise Security
- ☑ Use the z/OS Comm Server to Defend against External Threats
- Adapt ISPF Services to Manage and Control Internal Threats to z/OS
- Mine for Data Exposures and Mitigate Risk Using Xbridge "DATASNIFF"
- Enforce zEnterprise Configuration Compliance with Vanguard
- How to put the future of Information System Security into Perspective





Real Threats to zEnterprise System Security! > The Real Threat!

The latest "Anecdotal Findings" are good news for people who like bad news:

"...there are more threats than there are people or tools to identify and resolve them."





Real Threats to zEnterprise System Security! > The Real Threat!

As with all things, time ages even the best concepts and designs. With this inevitability in mind today's Security Professionals are facing threats that require agility in the application of security that cannot be easily implemented with Legacy Security.

The application of Legacy Security within the broader base of users, applications and data resources is adequate and will continue, as is, into the foreseeable future.

When the security needed to protect zEnterprise System configurations and resources is considered, it becomes clear that we are rapidly approaching a state of noncompliance.







Real Threats to zEnterprise System Security! > The Real Threat!

 Explosion in External Threats Commodity Threats Advanced Persistent Threats Coordinated Actions/Activities 	 Graying of Security Assets Resulting from Retirements, Reductions in Workforce and a Misguided Emphasis on Non-Mainframe platforms.
✓ Flood of System Messages Driven primarily by advances in Hardware, they are now generated at a rate 1200 X faster than 15 years ago.	 Reliance on Consultants/Outsourcers Often seen as a strategy for reducing cost, these dependencies move control into the hands of others.
 Drive Towards Globalization Different cultures will view security in ways that conform to their view of best practices. 	 "Starving the Beast" A myopic focus on the Total Cost of Ownership will result in a diminished view of the value of information security.





Real Threats to zEnterprise System Security! > The Real Threat!



Source:http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2013/04/23/ap-twitter-hacked_n_3140277.html





Real Threats to zEnterprise System Security! > What? Me Worry!

sta·tus quo

Noun

The existing state of affairs, esp. regarding social or political issues: "they have a vested interest in maintaining the status quo".

¹ Source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/status_quo





We're all under Attack! Has Your Mainframe has be Compromised?

"...In Q4/2012 more than 8 million new kinds of malware were discovered, up 25% from the prior years. There are now more than 90 million unique strands of malware in the wild."

Source: "Threats Report" by McAfee an Intel subsidiary

"...of the 3,236 US Businesses asked, 43% reported data lost in a Public or Private Cloud."

Source: San Jose Mercury - Symantec Corp. - March, 2013

"…In 2012 Security Breaches Cost US Companies an Estimated \$US175 Billion."

Source: The Ponemon Institute – www.ponemon.org an Annual Survey 2012







We're all under Attack! > Attack Types to Defend Against > More!

 Advanced Persistent Threat: *A Who not a What! "Comment Crew" - "Shanghai Group" - "APA 1"*
 Commodity Threat:

More a What than a Who!

"Phishing", "Scanning"

Embedded: Comes with the Platform! *"Huawei inspires fear", "It's me"*

Legislated:

The Government Says It's OK! "Market takes a Flash Crash"



Source: Anatomy of an Advanced Persistent Threat - A Webcast - Dell SecureWorks - Posted 02.03.2012 http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Phishing





We're all under Attack! > Best Defense Common Sense > Awareness!

From: SearchSecurity.com <no_reply@techtarget.com>

Subject: Infosec 2012: How to Help Your Organisation Deal with Next-Generation

Date: June 26, 2013 6:27:39 AM PDT

To: Paul Robichaux

Today's Top White Papers:

Infosec 2012: How to Help Your Organisation Deal with Next-Generation Cyber-Attacks Compliance Frameworks Live Chat Why Your Security Strategy Needs Universal Log Management Email Security Technical Guide Antivirus: The Hippest New Apple Accessory

Infosec 2012: How to Help Your Organisation Deal with Next-Generation Cyber-Attacks

eGuide sponsored by Hewlett-Packard Company This E-Guide offers expert insight on how to address next-generation cyber-attacks. View now to learn how network visibility can help you mitigate advanced threats, and much more!

View Now

Source: Techtarget - http://www.techtarget.com/





We're all under Attack! > Use What is Currently Available > SAF

The PORT statement is used to reserve a port for one/more job names or to control application access to unreserved ports.

For example, use the PORT statement to control the port that will be used by the SMTP server for receiving mail. If PORT is not coded, SMTP defaults to the value 25, the well known port for mail service.

Note that port 25 is typically reserved in hlq.PROFILE.TCPIP for the SMTP server to accept incoming mail. If another port number is selected for the SMTP server, then update the hlq.PROFILE.TCPIP file accordingly.



Source: IBM z/OS V1R13 CS TCP/IP Implementation – March 2012 - Volume 4 Note – TCP/IP Profile DECK, IPSECURITY Keyword on the IPCONFIG Statement





We're all under Attack! > Exploit Policy Management > It's Free

Management Policies are a pre-defined set of network Events, corresponding reply Actions, related Notifications and Reports.

☑ Policy files are created and maintained using the z/OSMF Configuration Assistant, or the PC-based Configuration Assistant for the z/OS Communication Server. (Gone in V2R1)

The same Policy Configuration can be applied across multiple IP Stacks in the same underlying LPAR and or LPARs.

Alternatively a Unique Policy Configuration can be deployed to each IP Stack in an LPAR.



¹/etc/cfgasst/v1r13/imagename/stackname/idsPol

Source: V1R13 IBM Configuration Assistant for z/OS Communications Server tool Note - In V2R1, z/OSMF Takes over these configuration functions.





We're all under Attack! > Exploit Policy Management > It's Free

■ PAGENT, a z/OS address space, builds the Policy Infrastructure needed by the z/OS Communication Server to support Intrusion Detection Services (IDS). PAGENT acts as a:

> ✓ Policy Server: executes on a single system and installs policies for others

> ✓ Policy Client: retrieves remote policies from the Policy Server.

- ☑ The Policy Infrastructure Includes:
 - ✓ Internet Key Exchange (IKED)
 - ✓ Network Security Services (NSSD)
 - ➤ ✓ Defense Manager (DMD)
- → ✓ Traffic Regulation Management (TRMD)
 - ✓ The Reporting Subagent (nslapm2)



Source: V1R13 IBM Configuration Assistant for z/OS Communications Server tool Note - In V2R1, z/OSMF Takes over these configuration functions.





We're all under Attack! > Internal Threat > The Nefarious Insider

An attack against a z/OS Mainframe that is networked to a heterogeneous Server Farm could unfold as follows:

- 1. Scan the Server Farm for open ports
- 2. Send a malformed Packet to all
- 3. Open the packet and activate a port
- 4. Send a Trojan to the open server(s)
- 5. Begin scan for open mainframe port
- 6. Send malformed Packet to one
- 7. Open the packet and Logon
- 8. Begin scanning for Relevant Data
- 9. FTP Data to Internet Drop Box
- 10. Terminate and Erase Self



Source: All that have been cited up to this point! Note - Denial-of-Service (DoS) Attacks, Man-in-the-middle attacks





We're all under Attack! > Internal Threat > Configuration Controls

zEnterprise System Integrity - Exposing "The Gap" between CMS and ESM.







We're all under Attack! > Internal Threat > Configuration Controls

zEnterprise System Integrity - All Rest on z/OS Configuration Definitions





We're all under Attack! > Internal Threat > Configuration Controls

zEnterprise System Integrity - Dataset access allowed to "Vetted-Users"







We're all under Attack! > Internal Threat > Configuration Controls

zEnterprise System Integrity - Member List and Member Selection Options







in Boston

We're all under Attack! > Internal Threat > Closing "The Gap"





We're all under Attack! > Internal Threat > Closing "The Gap"

zEnterprise System Integrity - EDIF Services - What do they Provide?

The Edit Interface (EDIF)¹ service provides edit functions for data accessed through dialog-supplied I/O routines. The dialog intercept must perform all environment-dependent functions such as dataset allocation, opening, reading, writing, closing, and freeing.

The dialog is also responsible for any necessary ENQ/DEQ serialization.

On Entry - Application Control:

- pre-processing that can allow/deny dataset/member access, detect changes, create backups,
- · editing data in partitioned datasets and sequential files and
- post-processing can detect changes, displays inline descriptor information, generates optional occurrence notification and refreshes a backup as needed.

On Exit - Application Control:

- provides routines that perform data read and write operations,
- provides command processing to support MOVE, COPY, CREATE, REPLACE, and the EDIT primary commands and
- supports unique application specific TSO/ISPF primary line commands.

¹ For more on EDIF and the "Edit Window" see the z/OS V1R13.0 ISPF Services Guide





We're all under Attack! > Internal Threat > Closing "The Gap"

Data Set List Utility	DSLIST - Data Sets Matching SYS1.*	0 Members processed
blank Display data set list P Print data set list V Dianka WWOC information DV Drint WWOC information	Command - Enter "/" to select action	Message Volume
Enter one or both of the parameters below: Dsname Level SYS1.* Volume serial Data set list options Initial View Enter "/" to select option 1 1. Volume / Confirm Data Set Delete 2. Space / Confirm Member Delete 3. Attrib / Include Additional Qualifiers 4. Total / Display Cotal Tracks Prefix Dsname Level When the data set list is displayed, enter either:	_ SYS1.IPLPARM SYS1.JES3CKP1 SYS1.JES3CKP1 SYS1.JES3DRDS SYS1.JES3JCT SYS1.JES3JCT SYS1.JES3SNAP SYS1.LINKLIB SYS1.LOCALA.PAGE SYS1.LOCALA.PAGE SYS1.LOCALB.PAGE SYS1.LOCALB.PAGE SYS1.LOCALB.PAGE SYS1.LOCALC.PAGE SYS1.LOCALC.PAGE SYS1.LOCALC.PAGE SYS1.LOCALC.PAGE	Edited ZDSYS1 ZDPRD2 ZDPRD2 ZDPRD2 ZDPRD2 ZDPRD2 ZDPRD2 ZDPRD2 ZDPRD2 ZDRES1 *VSAM* ZDPAGA *VSAM* ZDPAGB *VSAM* ZDPAGC 2)*
"/" on the data set list command field for the command prompt pop-up, an ISPF line command, the name of a TSO command, CLIST, or REXX exec, or	SYS1.LOCALD.PAGE.DATA SYS1.LOCALE.PAGE	ZZD *VSAM*
EDIT SYSI.IPLPARM Row 00001 of 00035 Name Prompt Size Created Changed ID \$\$\$COIBM 24 2009/05/11 2009/05/11 22:37:56 IBMUSER LOADAC LOADAK LOADAN	EDIT SYSI. PELPARM(LOADAC) - 01.00 ****** *****************************	e until you change and RECOVERY ON.
LOADAW LOADBC LOADBW LOADCC LOADCS 10 2011/11/30 22:13:08 IBMUSER LOADCW LOADDB LOADDB LOADDC LOADDI LOADDC	000003 SYSPARM AC 000004 IEASYM 00 000005 NUCLST 00 000006 PARMLIB USER.PARMLIB 000007 PARMLIB ADCD.2113.PARMLIB 000008 PARMLIB SYS1.PARMLIB 000009 NUCLEUS 1 000010 SYSPLEX ADCDPL	ZDSYS1 ZDRES1 ZDRES1 a ************************************
LOADIC 10 2011/11/18 2011/11/18 07:22:49 IBMUSER LOADMF 10 2009/11/09 2011/11/14 01:51:36 IBMUSER LOADMF 10 2009/11/09 2011/11/30 22:13:31 IBMUSER LOADWS 10 2011/11/30 2011/11/30 22:13:31 II 3 T LOADXA 9 2012/06/16 2012/06/17 07:28:16 AL 3 T LOADXB 9 2012/06/16 2012/06/17 07:28:08 ADCDMST		4





in Boston

We're all under Attack! > Internal Threat > Closing "The Gap"



Custom Application Shares Control with ISPF



We're all under Attack! > Internal Threat > Closing "The Gap"

zEnterprise System Integrity - EDIF Services - Improving Work Flow? TSO/ISPF Allow Edit Events¹ **ESM** Update -> Update Edit Window Λ Conditional Access Temporary Current ESM YES Backup Session Restore 1 Member Level: History Categories NO Testing API — Datasets -> Event Changed? YES Members Usage Record • Userid NO • Workgroups Repository · Projects Notification Documented? Repository Dashboard Read ¹ z/OS, z/UNIX **IARE** in Boston

We're all under Attack! > Internal Threat > Closing "The Gap"

zEnterprise System Integrity - Batch Utilities and Detected Changes

Detected Change: Must be an automated programmatic process by which the actual content of datasets defined to TCE as "Controlled Datasets" is reconciled with the last TCE Control Journal Copy. This reconciliation is performed at a minimum hourly and in all cases before the results of any query, report or panel is made available.

We're all under Attack! > Internal Threat > Closing "The Gap"

zEnterprise System Integrity - Collecting Change Documentation

- 1. An element or term that has the function of describing, identifying, or indexing, in particular.
- 2. A word or expression used to describe or identify something.
- 3. Descriptors are also used to hold information about data that is only fully known at run-time, such as a <u>dynamically allocated array</u>.

¹ Source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Data_descriptor

We're all under Attack! > Regulatory Threats > Data "Copies"

✓ "Copies" now 70% of Mainframe Data.

- Developers and QA personnel made copies
- Their co-workers made copies of copies
- Query results and reports make copies
- ✓ "Copies" are rarely deleted!
- "Copies" often contain sensitive data:
 - PCI, HIPAA, SOX, IP, etc.
- "Copies" unknown to the Data Security Team cannot possibly be "properly" protected using the access rule used by the External Security Manger (ESM).

We're all under Attack! > Regulatory Threats > Xbridge Systems

- ✓ The right tools can help you to eliminate most of the "Copies" and in doing so the Regulatory Threat. They Automatically:
 - Locate Sensitive Dataset Copies.
 - Isolate Sensitive Database Tables.
 - Deal with Data Migration Issues.
- Best Practices can help as well:
 - Datasets not recently referenced identified and stored securely.
 - Database tables currently in use should have access authorities validated.
 - Maintain a record of all Datasets by Type with a reference to the date of last use.

We're all under Attack! > Regulatory Threats > Vanguard Integrity

DISA, The Defense Information Systems Agency, recently issued new Security Guidelines:

The Security Technical Implementation Guide

The "STIG" Database contains known security configuration concerns, vulnerabilities, and issues required to be addressed by DOD policy.

DOD requirements that "information assurance (IA) and IA-enabled IT products incorporated into DOD IS shall be configured in accordance with STIG configuration guidelines".

Implementing the recommendations in STIG will ensure that DOD environments meet desired security requirements.

We're all under Attack! > Regulatory Threats > Vanguard Integrity

Automatically detect and notify personnel when threat events on the mainframe and network occur, then respond to deviations from the security baseline with corrective actions that reassert the approved security policy.

Satisfy the demands of Regulatory Compliance Standards (i.e. STIG) that require continuous oversight to ensure that approved IS controls (i.e. DOD) are in place and will stay that way.

Gain confidence that their z/OS and RACF security implementations are protecting critical data and resources and are continuously in adherence to z/OS best practices.

The Future of Security > V2R1 > Timeline > GA 09/30/2013

The Future of Security > V2R1 > Web Delivery > Security Portal

The Future of Security > Hyper-Performing Systems

¹ More than 40,000 unique message IDs are defined for z/OS and the IBM software that runs on z/OS systems. In a College Dictionary (Abridged) there are 50,000 - 70,000 words

The Future of Security > The zEnterprise, Dynamic, Global!

In Planned Extinction via Evolution:

Today	Tomorrow		
• Fixed service profile	Dynamic services		
 Structured data at rest 	Unstructured motion		
 Personal Computers 	Devices of any kind		
 Stable Workloads 	Unpredictable		
 Static infrastructure 	Cloud services		
 Proprietary standards 	Open innovation		

Several values of the several description of the severa description of the several description of the

- Without Boundaries
- Fully Instrumented
- Interconnected
- Highly Intelligent

The Future of Security > The zEnterprise, Public Vs. Private Cloud!

¹ Source:http://www.forbes.com/sites/forrester/2013/06/06/ibm-buys-softlayer-but-will-they-learn-from-them/ http://dealbook.nytimes.com/2013/06/04/i-b-m-buys-cloud-computing-firm-in-deal-said-to-be-worth-2-billion/

The Future of Security > Predictive Failure Analysis

History	Real-time	Future		
 Data Collection Event Filtering Post-Processing Reporting 	 Data Collection Discrimination Recognition Notification 	 Data Collection Predictive Analytics Recognition Notification 		
Passive	Reactive	Proactive		
Negative Assurance Positive Assurance				
1				

The LPAR Life Cycle¹

¹ Just How Long? Day, Week, Month, Quarter, Half-Year or Full-Year

The Future of Security > Health Checker > A Security Necessity

¹ zZS18 – The Latest in IBM Health Checker for z/OS - Marna Walle, IBM Corporation ² Mark Nelson, z/OS Security Server (RACF) Design and Development - APAR OA37164

The Future of Security > Health Checker > A Security Necessity

¹ zZS18 – The Latest in IBM Health Checker for z/OS - Marna Walle, IBM Corporation ² Mark Nelson, z/OS Security Server (RACF) Design and Development

The Future of Security > Health Checker > A Security Necessity

¹ zZS18 – The Latest in IBM Health Checker for z/OS - Marna Walle, IBM Corporation ² NY/RACF – z/OS V2.1 RACF Update - Mark Nelson, z/OS Security Development, IBM

RE

in Boston

The Future of Security > Health Checker > A Security Necessity

¹ zZS18 – The Latest in IBM Health Checker for z/OS - Marna Walle, IBM Corporation
 ² SHARE SFO - 13063: IBM zAware - Using Analytics to Improve System z Availability - Garth Godfrey IBM Corporation

The Future of Security > Predictive Analytics > Competing Strategies

Solutions Available:		Rules based	Analytics / Statistical model	Examines message traffic	Self Learning	Method
- z/OS Health Checker	 Checks configurations Programmatic, applies to IBM and ISV tools Can escalate notifications 	*				Rules based to screen for conditions
- z/OS PFA	 Trending analysis of z/OS system resources, and performance Can invoke z/OS RTD 		*		~	Early detection
- z/OS RTD	 Real time diagnostics of specific z/OS system issues 	~		*		After an incident
IBM zAware	 Pattern based message analysis Self learning Provides aid in diagnosing complex z/OS problems, including cross sysplex, problems that may or may not bring the system down 		~	~	~	Diagnosis Useful before or after an incident

¹ z/U 05/2013 - zZS21: Smart Monitoring of z/OS with IBM zAware by Riaz Ahmad, IBM Corporation

The Future of Security > Your New Best Friend > The Boss!

For all its remarkable advances, computation in the past half-century

-the era of "programmable" computers

has been limited to "yes/no" decisions. The new era now emerging will unlock far deeper understanding of the complexities and ambiguities of the real world

-both natural and man-made-

Source: IBM 2012 Annual Report - http://www.ibm.com/annualreport/2012/ - Published March, 2013

The Future of Security > The Real Threat > It's all up to you now!

"...our only limitation is the ability of our customers and prospects to grasp, understand and productively utilize the services, technology and product we provide..."

Source: Buck Rogers - VP Global Marketing, IBM Corporation, Executive Briefing OMNI Hotel, Miami, FL - August, 1984 - More or Less its been long time!

That's it folks, all done!

Session Evaluation - Session Number - 14228

Why Legacy Security Isn't Enough

Monday, August 12 at 11:00 am Hynes Convention Center Room 207

Paul R. Robichaux prr@newera.com

SHARE.org/BostonEval

Visit www.SHARE-SEC.com for more information on the SHARE Security & Compliance Project

QR Code

46