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MVS Survey

• In July 2012, the MVS program decided to conduct an online survey to determine how and whether installations were exploiting the enhancements in each z/OS release.
• The results were surprising, at the very least.
• The purpose of this session to explore those results.
• I’ll be providing my personal recommendations in many cases.
• You can see the full results by going to www.share.org/mvs and signing up to be a member; then look at the Forum for MVS Program Announcements.
51. Which 5 functions provided most benefit?

- Presented at last SHARE

1. Health Checker (21%); 77% have implemented it
2. HyperPAV (21%); 55% have implemented it
3. zIIPs/zAAPs (16%); 76% have zIIPs; 25% have zAAPs; 29% planning on zAAP on zIIP facility
4. zFS (12%); 64% have migrated system files from HFS to zFS; 49% have migrated user files from HFS to zFS
5. HiperDispatch (11%); 46% have HiperDispatch turned on
51. Which next 4 functions provided most benefit?

• Next four functions

  • 6. zHPF (11%); 30% have implemented it
  • 7. BCPii (7%)
  • 8. EAVs (7%); 30% have implemented them
  • 9. OPERLOG (7%); 51% have implemented it
51. Which next 4 functions provided most benefit?

- 6. zHPF (11%)
  - 30% have implemented IBM High Performance FICON for System z (zHPF)
  - Primary complaint – couldn’t justify cost or hardware didn’t support it
  - Primary misconception – some thought it wasn’t available on 1.11 and 1.12
  - Requirements: z/OS 1.11+; z10 (Driver 76 or higher) or newer through zBC12; DS8800 or DS8700 (min level 7.6.2) with zHPF feature; FICON Express2 or above. DB2 list prefetch needs FICON Express8S channels.
51. Which next 4 functions provided most benefit?

- 6. zHPF References

- ATS White Paper [WP101175](#) – *DS8000 HyperPAV UCB and Alias Analysis Case Study*
- IBM Redbook [SG24-8886-02](#) - *IBM System Storage DS8000 Architecture and Implementation*
- SHARE Boston session 14281, Howard Johnson, Lou Ricci, *FICON Buffer to Buffer Credits, Exchanges and Urban Legends*
51. Which 4 functions provided most benefit?

6. zHPF Benefits

- Reduces number of channels (e.g. 90 to 16 channels)
- Improve response times for high-activity applications (especially for small block I/Os (4k per I/O))
- Applicable to DB2, VSAM, PDSE, HFS, zFS, IMS, indexed VTOCs (CVAF), catalog VVDS/BCS, and non-extended format data sets
- Can also reduce in fewer fiber, switch ports, and control unit ports
- Can benefit EAVs by increasing I/O rates as volumes expand
- Might get reduced response times for DB2
51. Which 4 functions provided most benefit?

- 6. zHPF Recommendations

  - This is normally applicable to medium to large sites who need to reduce response times or reduce the number of channels
  - See if zHPF enabled with ‘D M,DEV(…) or D M,CHP(…)
  - Use the FICON Aggregation Tool in zCP3000 to consolidate work onto fewer FICON channels
  - Use the Redbooks and configuration manuals for implementation
51. Which next 4 functions provided most benefit?

• 7. BCPii (7%)

- Base Control Program internal interface (BCPii) lets authorized programs use APIs to query, modify and perform HMC-like functions.
- Requirements: z/OS 1.10+, any System z processor. Unix system services can get event notification using CEA.
- API support available for C and Assembler. REXX available in 2.1.
51. Which next 4 functions provided most benefit?

• 7. BCPii References

  • IBM ATS Conference Presentation TC000050 (Spring2010) - *Parallel Sysplex Partitioning Using BCPii*
  • IBM Manual SA22-7613-10 - *z/OS MVS Programming: Callable Services for High Level Languages*
  • IBM Redbook SG24-7817-00 - *System z Parallel Sysplex Best Practices*
  • IBM Redbook SG24-7946-00 (27Mar2012) - *z/OS Version 1 Release 13 Implementation*
  • IBM z/OS Hot Topics Newsletter - August 2009 - Stephen Warren - *The application doesn't fall far from the tree BCPii: Control your HMC and support element directly from z/OS apps*
  • IBM z/OS Hot Topics Newsletter - August 2012 - Stephen Warren - *Seeing BCPii with new eyes*
51. Which next 4 functions provided most benefit?

- 7. BCPii References

- SHARE 2011 in Anaheim - Session 8665 - Steve Warren - *BCPii for Dummies: Start to finish installation, setup and usage*
- SHARE 2011 in Orlando - Session 9704 - Mark Brooks and Nicole Fagen – *Parallel Sysplex Resiliency*
- SHARE 2011 in Orlando - Session 9865 - Steve Warren - *Simple BCPii Programming for the z/OS System Programmer*
- SHARE 2012 in Anaheim - Session 12088 - Brian Valentine - *IBM System z HMC (Hardware management Console) Security Basics & Best Practices*
51. Which next 4 functions provided most benefit?

- 7. BCPii References

- SHARE 2013 in San Francisco - Session 12504 - Mike Shorkend - *Back to the Future: Creating Consistent Copies at Isracard*
- SHARE 2013 in San Francisco - Session 13035 - Steve Warren - *BCPii Programming Beyond the Basics for the z/OS System Programmer*
- SHARE 2013 in Boston - Session 13847 - Frank Kyne – *Recent z/OS Enhancements You Can Use to Reduce Down Time*
- SHARE 2013 in Boston – Session 13836 – Steve Warren – *What’s New in BCPii in z/OS 2.1? Full REXX Support and Faster Data Retrieval*
51. Which next 4 functions provided most benefit?

• 7. BCPii Benefits

• When exploited, BCPii usually provides for more stable systems
• Current exploiters:
  • Capacity Provisioning Manager (CPM) can add or delete temporary capacity based on WLM policy
  • XCF System Status Detection (SSD) Partitioning Protocol (SYSSTATDETECT) can determine if the system is truly dead
  • HCD uses BCPii
  • Several ISVs
  • Customers write their own
51. Which next 4 functions provided most benefit?

- 7. BCPii Recommendation
  - Implement BCPii as soon as you can
51. Which next 4 functions provided most benefit?

- 8. EAVs (7%); 30% have implemented

  - Extended Address Volumes (EAVs) allow DASD volumes to have more space (over 54 GB) than traditional DASD volumes.
  - This reduces the number of 4-digit device numbers needed.
  - Requirements: z/OS 1.10+, DS8000 storage controller. z/OS 1.12-1.13 allow up to 1 TB EAVs and support for DS8700.
  - Storage above 54 GB is called extended address space (EAS).
  - Control of which data sets can use EAS is determined by SMS storage groups or esoteric names.
51. Which next 4 functions provided most benefit?

- 8. EAV References
  - IBM Manual SC26-7400-14 - z/OS 1.13 DFSMSdfp Advanced Services (contains information about the EAV migration assistant tracker)
  - IBM Manual SC26-7473-11 - z/OS 1.13 DFSMS Using the New Functions (contains changes in each release and the implementation steps for each release)
  - IBM Redbook SG24-7617-00 (Updated 25Sep2009) - DFSMS V1.10 and EAV Technical Guide
51. Which next 4 functions provided most benefit?

- 8. EAV References

- SHARE 2008 in San Jose - Session 2571 - Michael Graham - Extended Address Volume (EAV) Performance
- SHARE 2009 in Austin - Session 3023 - James Cammarata - Extended Address Volume (EAV) - Overview, Usage and Invocation
- SHARE 2009 in Austin - Session 3024 - James Cammarata - Extended Address Volume (EAV) - Migration, Coexistence, Installation
- SHARE 2010 in Seattle - Session 2417 - Scott Drummond - What's New with Extended Address Volumes (EAV) in z/OS
- SHARE 2010 in Boston - Session 7525 - Tom Wasik - z/OS 1.12 JES2 New Functions, Features, and Migration Actions
- SHARE 2013 in San Francisco - Session 13030 - David Jones - z/OS JES3 Product Update and Review of Newer Features
51. Which next 4 functions provided most benefit?

- 8. EAV Benefits
  
  - Reduces effort to manage large DASD farm.
  - Provides relief from 4-digit device limitation.
  - z/OS 1.10 support: VSAM (KSDS, RRDS, ESDS, Linear) data sets used by DB2 V8+, CICS, zFS, IMS V9+, NFS, SMP/E CSI.
  - z/OS 1.11 support: sequential extended format data sets, XRC journal data sets, ability to override system default for specific data sets using EATTR data set attribute.
  - z/OS 1.12 support: non-VSAM sequential (basic and large format), PDS, PDSE, BDAM, undefined DSORGs, XRC state, catalog VVDS and BCS. DFSMShsm, DFSORT support.
51. Which next 4 functions provided most benefit?

- 8. EAV Recommendation
  - Wait on EAVs unless you really, really need them.
  - Most ISVs have coded support for EAVs, but few customers are using EAVs. Therefore, not all products are thoroughly tested.
  - Many ISV products, especially old or small products, may never have EAV support.
  - Search FIXCAT of IBM.function.EAV for APARs. (There were over 60 for last year. Most were adding support to products or components.)
  - This is a MAJOR implementation effort and policies and procedures will have to be changed.
  - You should really consider using HyperPAVs to maintain performance when using EAVs.
51. Which next 4 functions provided most benefit?

- 9. OPERLOG (7%); 51% have implemented it

- SYSLOG provides a single system log of messages (WTOs – write to operator messages) that is contained as a SYSOUT data set on JES SPOOL.

- OPERLOG is a sysplex-wide log of messages that is written to a system logger log stream.

- OPERLOG, if available, is used by the z/OSMF Incident Log feature to capture the messages surrounding an incident.

- zAware requires OPERLOG
51. Which next 4 functions provided most benefit?

- 9. OPERLOG References

  - IBM Manual SA22-7601-12 – z/OS MVS Planning: Operations
  - SHARE 2012 in Anaheim - Session 11714 – Nicholas R. Jones – System Logger Top 10 Problems
  - SHARE 2012 in Anaheim - Session 11715 – Nicholas R. Jones – System Logger Update
51. Which next 4 functions provided most benefit?

• 9. OPERLOG Benefits

  • Provides backup in case JES SYSLOG is lost
  • Provides intermixed messages from multiple systems in parallel sysplex – can be a big help in debugging multi-system problems
  • Provides messages before JES is brought up and after JES comes down
  • SDSF has a FILTER command for OPERLOG, but not for SYSLOG
  • You don’t need a CF for OPERLOG because you can use a DASD ONLY logstream
  • Logstreams are easier to backup for archive easier than SYSLOG
  • Message descriptor codes are available in OPERLOG, but not SYSLOG
51. Which next 4 functions provided most benefit?

- 9. OPERLOG Recommendation
  - Implement this as soon as you can, even if not in a sysplex
  - Have it ready for when you install z/OSMF!
Very Important Functions

- zPCR
- z/OSMF
- ITSO Pubs
10. Have you used zPCR?

- 45% have used zPCR
- Primary complaint – don’t have confidence in it
- Primary misconception – it’s only for upgrading to new CECs
- References –
  - SHARE Boston session 14219, John Burg, zPCR Capacity Sizing Lab – Part 1 of 2: Introduction and Overview
10. Have you used zPCR?

• Benefits –
  • It’s free and keeps you from making capacity planning or configuration mistakes
  • It’s the ONLY way you can estimate the impact of new hardware or hardware changes, such as the change in your LPAR configuration or use of specialty processors (zIIPs/zAAPs) – don’t use MIPS tables for expectations
  • Can help you improve performance of your configuration

• My recommendation –
  • EVERYBODY needs to install and learn to use this before making any type of configuration change
  • Turn on type 113 records as input to zPCR
Caution!

- zPCR estimates CPU usage when changing processors or LPAR configurations

- It does NOT take into account the following:
  - Changes in memory size
  - Changes in channel subsystem/DASD controllers
  - Effect of changes in speed of coupling facility processors (depends on the amount of use of data sharing)
Caution!

- zPCR does NOT take into account the following:
  - Changes in channels, such as FICON Express
  - Changes in subsystem releases or versions
  - Not turning on HiperDispatch
  - Addition of zFlash or solid state devices
  - Changes in queuing due to HyperPavs
  - Changes in CPU busy
  - Changes in workload
Caution!

• zEC12 User Presentations
  • At this SHARE, there have been several user presentations showing a decrease in MSUs when moving to a zEC12 by 15% to 45%
  • My customers are experiencing this too
  • Why is this happening? MSUs are designed by IBM to provide equivalency between two machines.
  • BUT – IBM doesn’t make all of the changes noted on the previous screens
  • If you add memory or increase the speed of a CF or provide faster channels or . . . . . . , each job will take less CPU and, therefore, less MSUs
Caution!

- **Chargeback**
  - What does this mean to chargeback?
  - You can’t necessarily modify your charging by normalizing between the MIPS or MSUs based on just zPCR
  - Prices will vary, and you need to be prepared to deal with that
- This breaks golden rule of performance management: Never make more than one change at a time.
  - Measure, make a single change, measure again, report change.
  - When you make multiple changes, you don’t know WHY there is a change in results
23. Have you used z/OSMF?

- 24% have used z/OSMF
- Primary complaint – takes too many resources; and “I have my own way of doing things”
  - This is corrected in z/OS 2.1
- Primary misconception – it’s only for new sysprogs
- References –
  - z/OSMF website
  - IBM z/OSMF User’s Guide – SA38-0652
23. Have you used z/OSMF?

- References (cont.) –
  - SHARE Boston sessions:
    - 14247, Anuja Deedwaniya, *z/OSMF Configuration Made Easy*
    - 14253, Anuja Deedwaniya, *Diagnosing Problems on my z/OS System – New Technologies*
    - 14249, Greg Daynes, *z/OSMF Software Management Capabilities*
    - 14267, Geoff Smith, Dan Hui Fan, *Engaging Users and Reducing Complexity: z/OSMF Software Deployment Project Usability Discussion*
    - 14230, Anuja Deedwaniya, *The New and Improved z/OSMF 2.1*
23. Have you used z/OSMF?

• Benefits –
  • Improves sysprog and performance analyst productivity
  • Provides easier training for new sysprogs
  • Implements “Best Practices”
  • Provides software management, which is a totally new feature unavailable through other techniques
  • Positions you for use of workflow scenarios to decrease the time to implement other features in z/OS 2.1
23. Have you used z/OSMF?

- My recommendation –
  - Install this on your test or development system as soon as possible (caution – prior to z/OS 2.1, it might run as slow as molasses on a small LPAR, but just have patience and see the benefits)
  - For small production LPARs, wait until z/OSMF 2.1 where it uses the WAS Liberty Profile:
    - z/OS 1.13 with WAS OEM – 4,481 cylinders down to 602 cylinders
    - z/OS 2.1 can start up in seconds versus minutes on small LPAR
7/8 – Have you implemented recommendations from ITSO?

- Two were specifically written to reduce outages and mean time to recovery (MTTR):
  - [SG24-7328-00](http://www.redbooks.ibm.com) – z/OS Planned Outage Avoidance
  - [SG24-7816-00](http://www.redbooks.ibm.com) – Mean Time to Recovery (MTTR)
- Sadly, less than 50% of responders had tried any of these Best Practices
- Benefit – More reliable and stable systems; less downtime; training in Best Practices
Exploiting z/OS 2.1 Today

- APARs let you exploit many 2.1 functions on z/OS 1.12 and 1.13 today
- z/OS 1.12 and above:
  - zHPF support for EXCP – OA38185
  - Increase spin data sets for JES2 – OA38944/PM59496
  - XCF performs additional validation – OA40966
  - Basic Hyperswap reduces false freezes – OA37632
  - RACF health checks – OA37164
  - zAAP on zIIP support works if zAAP is available – OA38829
  - Interrupt delay time facility on zEC12 – OA39993
Exploiting z/OS 2.1 Today

- z/OS 1.12 and above:
  - Add comments to parmlib members – OA38328
- z/OS 1.13:
  - System logger enhancement to use separate tasks – OA38613/OA40633/OA41465/OA41470
  - XCF IXCNOTE note pads – OA38450
  - z/OSMF software management – PM73833/PM80167
  - z/OSMF application linking – PM74502/PM74508/PM74517
  - z/OSMF capacity provisioning – PM74519
  - Additional text for DFSMS abends – OA37957/OS37505/OA39175
See You in Anaheim!
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