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A-C-I-D criteria irrelevant

A transaction is a work request that...
- Has defined start and end times
- Consumes some resources
- A set of similar transactions is reported on and managed to a certain performance objective
- May be served by
  - One or more dispatchable units
  - One or more address spaces
  - One or more subsystem types
  - One or more z/OS systems within a Sysplex

View of what a transaction is may somewhat deviate across subsystems and monitoring products
## Overview of transactional workloads management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workload</th>
<th>TSO/E</th>
<th>Batch and WLM-managed Initiators (JES)</th>
<th>CICS/IMS</th>
<th>DB2</th>
<th>WAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transaction scope</td>
<td>TSO command</td>
<td>Job</td>
<td>Work manager (CICS or IMS) transaction</td>
<td>Enclave</td>
<td>Enclave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLM Subsystem Type</td>
<td>TSO</td>
<td>JES</td>
<td>STC/JES (regions) CICS, IMS</td>
<td>DDF, DB2</td>
<td>CB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfaces used</td>
<td>Sysevents</td>
<td>Sysevents, Queue server</td>
<td>Subsystem Work Manager Services, Execution delay services</td>
<td>Enclave services, execution delay services, queue server</td>
<td>Enclave services, queue server, monitoring-only execution delay services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managed entity</td>
<td>Address space / initiator</td>
<td>Address space executing same transaction mixes</td>
<td>Enclave / dispatchable unit</td>
<td>Enclave / dispatchable unit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typically...</td>
<td>Short running</td>
<td>Long running</td>
<td>Short or very short running</td>
<td>Short or long running</td>
<td>Short running</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional enclave exploiters: SAP, IWEB, TCP/IP, LDAP
Workload Management Definitions and Metrics

- Three options for specifying performance goals:
  - **Average** Response time goal
    - E.g. 0.5 sec for an online transaction or 10 min for a batch service class
    - Good for transactions with similar response times
    - Stable, end-user relevant goal definition
  - **Percentile** response time goal
    - E.g. 80% of transactions to complete in 1 sec or less
    - Better suited for transactions with inhomogeneous response time distribution
    - Stable, end-user relevant goal definition
  - **Execution velocity** goal
    - “Execution velocity” is a measure of how fast a piece of work is processed
    - Depends on workload, and H/W, S/W configuration

- Importance
  - (most important, fixed DP): SYSTEM, SYSSTC
  - (dynamic DP range managed by WLM): 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
  - (least important) DISCRETIONARY
  - Defines business importance of work, i.e. which goals are most important, and which goals may be sacrificed if not all the work can meet its goal

Performance objective that which WLM should ensure

Business importance when not all goals can be met

**Execution Velocity** = \( \frac{\text{All Using Samples}}{\text{All Using + ManagedDelays Samples}} \times 100 \)

DP=Dispatch Priority
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# z/OS Dispatch Priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>FF</td>
<td>SYSTEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>SYSSTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253</td>
<td>FD</td>
<td>Not Used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>249</td>
<td>F9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248</td>
<td>F8</td>
<td>Small consumer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>247</td>
<td>F7</td>
<td>Dynamically Managed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dispatch Priorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>CB</td>
<td>Not Used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>C9</td>
<td>Discretionary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean Time to Wait</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>C0</td>
<td>Algorithm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>BF</td>
<td>Quiesce</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Goal achievement

- Performance Index (PI) is the key metric for goal achievement.

- Defined as: \( \text{PerformanceIndex} = \frac{\text{ActualPerformance}}{\text{DefinedPerformanceGoal}} \)

- Therefore:
  - \( \text{PI}<1 \): Work overachieves goal
  - \( \text{PI}=1 \): Work achieves goal
  - \( \text{PI}>1 \): Work misses goal - trigger for WLM to consider some actions

For velocity and response time goals the PI can be computed easily:

- Velocity goal
  \( \text{PI} = \frac{\text{Execution Velocity Goal}}{\text{Achieved Execution Velocity}} \)

- Average response time goal
  \( \text{PI} = \frac{\text{Achieved Response Time}}{\text{Response Time Goal}} \)
Workload is managed to defined average response times of transaction endings in the period.

Average RT goal achievement may be skewed by small numbers of very long-running transactions.

But suitable goal type for the first periods of multi-periods service classes.
How the PI is computed for percentile goals

- For percentile goals WLM computes 14 discrete response time “buckets”
  - For each transaction ending the count of the respective bucket is incremented
  - Example: Goal = 85% of all transactions completed in 1 sec:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>bucket#</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fr</td>
<td>≤0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>&gt;4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample percentage of endings</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accumulated percentage ≥85: PI=1.2
Not required to meet goal

- Smallest possible PI is 0.5 is
- Maximum possible PI is 4.0
Percentile Response Time Distributions

- Percentile RT are the first choice for managing transactions for CICS/IMS, OMVS, TSO, DDF
  - Except for the last period of multi-period service classes (use a velocity goal)
- If the number of transaction endings is very low the PI may appear erratic
  - May not be a real problem, just a low application utilization effect
Work request enters in a front end region (Work Manager)
  - Work is classified, transaction bookkeeping tracking begins
  - Usually not very work intensive, but high importance required
  - Used to be not applicable to CICS

Work manager can pass the work request to other regions (Work Consumer) that process the work request (partially or entirely)

Work consumers may call database servers for processing of I/O requests
  - DB2, IMS DB, VSAM RLS

Results are returned to work manager and bookkeeping ends with completion of work request

Different mechanisms can be used to implement this model:
  - CICS/IMS: Subsystem work manager services / execution delay services
  - DDF/DB2, WAS: Enclaves
  - Combinations are possible
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1. CICS managed by response time goals
   - All Regions defined as managed towards TRANSACTION goals
     - Long existing and recommended method
     - Works well for most environments
       - All environments which are not exclusively CICS workload or don’t have any problem

2. If response time goals have not been defined all CICS regions are managed towards REGION goals (exempted from transaction management)
   - Long existing Method
   - Works well for many environments
     - But: Execution velocity goals are more sensitive to hardware and software changes
     - Usually no transaction reporting available

3. CICS managed by BOTH Region and Response Time Goals
   - CICS TORs defined as managed towards BOTH goals
   - CICS AORs defined as managed towards TRANSACTION goals
     - Introduced with OA35428
     - Works well for most environments, too.
     - Also addresses environments where CICS is the main workload and little displaceable capacity exists
Execution delay monitoring services: The Performance Block

- A control block “Performance Block” (PB) plays a key part with execution delay monitoring services
  
  - Communication vehicle between subsystems and WLM
    - High performance interfaces
  
  - Subsystems
    - Request to create PB – usually one per thread
    - Control type of PB (e.g. regular, report-only, bufferpool mgmt)
    - Save classification information into the PB
    - Update the PB with work request initiation and completion data
    - Update the PB with address space and dispatchable unit information
    - Update the PB with using/delay states
    - Maintain relationship with parent PB when work request is passed to another address space/component

- WLM
  - Provides APIs to interface with the PB
  - Samples the PB each 250 ms (can be less)
  - Determines association between service classes and server address spaces: “server topology”
  - Reports on using/delay statistics
  - Reports on response times
Use of execution delay services in a CICS TOR/AOR environment

- Transaction enters CICS TOR
- CLSFY INIT SWCH
- CICS TOR
- PB
- RPT
- Transaction leaves
- INIT
- NTFY
- CICS AOR
- PB
- WLM
- Service Class
- Sampling
- Execution Phase
- Begin-to-End Phase
- Attributes
- Token
Use of execution delay services an environment with a database manager

Work Manager

Single Address Space Transaction Manager
Work Manager TCB calls Database Manager

Work Manager – Calling Subsystem

Database Manager – Called Subsystem
Server topologies and internal WLM service classes

- Usually many server address spaces (TORs, AORs) will exist in a system
  - Server address spaces are classified by itself
  - Executing different transactions that may be classified into different service classes with different (response time) goals
  - WLM needs to manage regions based on the transactions’ goals

- For that purpose WLM creates internal service classes
  - Named $SRMSnnn
  - Used to associate sets of server address spaces with sets of external service classes: One internal service class for each set of servers executing the same set of external service classes
  - Need to be continuously reassessed in case transaction mix changes

Currently, WLM is not aware of what CICS regions are TORs.
Example with four server AS and three external service classes

External Service Classes for Subsystem CICS with Response Time Goals

CICSMOST
CICSSOME
CICSSPEC

External Service Class for Subsystem STC for CICS Regions

CICSREG

TOR1  TOR2

AOR1  AOR2

Internal Service Classes for CICS Regions based on Transactions executing

$SRMS020

$SRMS021
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Helping server address spaces through internal service classes

- Relationship between external and internal service classes based on previous example
- WLM management:
  - If CICSSPEC goals are missed, regions can be helped via $SRMS021
  - If CICSMOST goals are missed
    - Determine which internal SCs contribute most
    - Try helping regions through that internal SC
    - Implicitly helps CICSSOME as well

- However, the number of internal service classes can increase rapidly with the number of external service classes
  - …if transaction topology is unconstrained
Some CICS/IMS management conclusions

- When managing CICS transactions to response times keep the number of external service classes low
  - Ideally no more than two

- Avoid introducing separate external service classes unless these transactions are really significant
  - Transaction service classes for little used transactions can split the sets of servers working on the same relevant transactions
    - These different sets can be managed differently resulting in more heterogeneous response times.
    - A higher number of external service classes usually leads to more volatile topologies.
  - Low consumption internal service classes may be treated as “small consumer” at a DP above other work

- Atypical or long running transactions can potentially be “ignored” by using appropriate percentile goals
- Restricting transaction topology can also help simplifying server topology.
CICS managed by BOTH Region and Response Time Goals

Definition

- New “Manage Regions by Goals Of” option in WLM service definition: “BOTH”
  - Available with OA35428 on z/OS R11 and above
  - Use option “BOTH” for TORs
    - Define STC service class for TORs which has a higher importance than the CICS service class with response time goals for the CICS work and AORs
  - Stay with “Manage Regions Using Goals Of = Transaction” for AORs.

- Result:
  - WLM will manage the TORs towards the goals of the STC service class
  - And WLM will ensure bookkeeping of transaction completions to the correct CICS response time service class

- The CICS transactions are managed towards CICS response time goals and the AORs are also managed towards these goals like today

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem-Type</th>
<th>Xref</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Help</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modify Rules for the Subsystem Type</td>
<td>Row 1 to 3 of 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Command ==&gt;</td>
<td>Scroll ==&gt; PAGE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem Type</th>
<th>JES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Batch Work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action codes:</th>
<th>A=After</th>
<th>C=Copy</th>
<th>M=Move</th>
<th>I=Insert rule</th>
<th>B=Before</th>
<th>D=Delete row</th>
<th>R=Repeat</th>
<th>IS=Insert Sub-rule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>Qualifier</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>Manage Region</td>
<td>Critical</td>
<td>Using Goals Of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Start</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>CICSTOR*</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>BOTH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>CICSAOR*</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>TRANSACTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>CICS*</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>TRANSACTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CICS managed by BOTH Region and Response Time Goals

Structure of Service Classes

- TORs are now managed towards the goal of the service class CICSTOR
  - They still report their transaction completions for management

- AORs are still managed towards the goals of the CICS service classes and the consumption of the internal service class for the region

- **Recommendation**: CICSTOR should be defined at a higher importance than the CICS service classes
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What is an enclave?

- Unrelated to what other components call enclave 😊
- A logical construct representing a “business unit of work”
  - Groups one or more units of work running in the same or multiple address spaces
    - Preemptible SRBs
    - Tasks (TCBs)
- Enclave dispatch priority is managed by WLM
- Enclaves do not own storage
- Owned by home address space at time of creation
- Owner can own multiple enclaves at a time
Typical enclave lifecycle

- Exploiting subsystems (DB2, SAP, Websphere)
  - register as work managers to WLM
  - Upon arrival of work requests it creates an enclaves and classifies it. Either a preemptible SRB is scheduled to execute the work request or the enclave execution information is passed to a server region
  - The server region dispatchable unit picks up (“joins”) the request.
  - Server tasks leave the enclave.
  - Work manager deletes enclave.

- WLM / SRM
  - Can directly manage the enclaves independently from the address spaces. Allows giving different execution units of the same address space different dispatch priorities depending on the service class they belong to. Transaction can also undergo period switch.
  - Manages server storage to meet enclave goals.
  - Provides reports on the enclaves.
## Types of enclaves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enclave type</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Independent     | ➢ Represent a new unit of work in the system. Does not extend a running transaction.  
                  ➢ Need to be classified through classification rules in WLM Service Definition  
                  ➢ Executable Units (TCBs and SRBs) join the enclave during execution  
                  ➢ While joined they are managed towards the goals of the service class into which the enclave has been classified to  
                  ➢ Allow to manage units of work across multiple address spaces and therefore are closest to represent customer transactions on MVS from a performance management point |
| Dependent       | ➢ Are a continuation to an existing process on MVS. So the continuation is always tied to the address space under which it is created. Extends creating AS’ transaction.  
                  ➢ Do not require separate classification: Inherit the classification from the address space |
| Work-dependent  | ➢ Extension to an independent, dependent, or other work-dependent enclave.  
                  ➢ Extends the transaction the creating enclave.  
                  ➢ Run like an independent enclave when created by non-enclave work.  
                  ➢ Allows control of zIIP offload by entitled products. |
| Foreign         | ➢ Are a continuation of a unit of work (enclave) from another system in the same sysplex.  

Enclave Management with DDF
WebSphere Classification Approach

- Control region
  - Under the STC subsystem: High importance, high velocity

- Servant/adjunct
  - Appropriate velocity
  - Importance: Weigh fast (re)start need vs. impact of CPU demand
  - IEAOPT ManageNonEnclaveWord=No/Yes controls how work outside enclaves is being managed (garbage collection, common service routines)
    - With default of NO such work is not fully managed by WLM
    - With YES it is managed to the region’s goal
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Choosing goals for transactional workloads

- A goal—regardless of what type—should be
  - Achievable
    - Base goal based on peak times (application/system/CPC level)
  - Important goals should be challenging
    - Ideally, target a PI of 1.2 at peak duration

- Common problems are goals that are far too relaxed
  - May not show up as a problem as long as
    - Overall utilization moderate, and
    - No other workloads are injected into the system
  - Frequently seen symptom are impacted goals upon batch submits.
    - Dispatch priority not elevated by WLM because goals are still being for quite some time.

- Following examples show a SAP workload but the problem equally applies to other workloads
Batch submission impacting high importance workloads
Dispatch priority of SAPHIGH too low (below SAPLOW) because the goal far too relaxed
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_sysplex routing services allow for a proper load balancing of transactions across all systems in a sysplex. They provide support

- to understand the free or displaceable capacity at each importance level for every system
- Let exploiting subsystems decide which system and server a work request should be routed to

- Capacity-based routing alone is insufficient. Also need to consider:
  - Server-specific performance data: Performance index, queue time
  - Health state

- For exploiters of specialty processors the processor-type specific capacities are available
  - Optionally include cost factor for different processor types

- Routing is done by the subsystem, such as CTG, DB2 Connect, Sysplex Distributor – not WLM itself
  - WLM provides set of services for registered servers to report health state and obtain routing weights

IWMWSYSQ is a simple interface to understand free/displaceable capacity
## Server-specific Routing (DB2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYS</th>
<th>Avail Cap</th>
<th>Orig. Server weight</th>
<th>PI</th>
<th>WLM weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SYS1</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYS2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYS3</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYS4</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUM</td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes:
1. With less than perfect health states the weights would also to modified to reflect health state
2. The number of connections is usually not proportional to the WLM weights
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