


SHARE – Anaheim, Aug. 7, 2012

CICS Performance Health Checks



By Ivan Gelb



Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





Trademarks & Copyright

 The following are trade or service marks of the IBM Corporation: CICS, CICSPlex, DB2, IBM, z/OS, Parallel Sysplex. Any omissions are purely unintended.

© 2012, Ivan L. Gelb Gelb Information Systems Corp. 10 Country Club Lane, Marlboro, NJ 07746-1447 Phone: 732-303-1333 E-mail: ivan@gelbis.com

Permission granted to reproduce presentation only in its entirety and include all copyright notices. All comments, contributions and questions are welcomed and rewarded.

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





Disclaimer

All of the information in this document is tried and true. However, this fact alone cannot guarantee that you can get the same results at your workplace. In fact, some of this advice can be hurtful if it is misused and misunderstood. Gelb Information Systems Corporation, Ivan Gelb and anyone found anywhere assume no responsibility for this information's accuracy, completeness or suitability for any purpose. Anyone attempting to adapt these techniques to their own environments anywhere do so completely at their own risk. 2 2

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information







- Your Questions @Anytime
- Analysis Overview
- Processor Performance
- I/O Performance



Think *Faster* with Gelb Information



© 2012 Gelb Information Systems Corp. Any questions? Email to: <u>ivan@gelbis.com</u>; Call: 732-303-1333

Slide 4



Analysis Pre-Reqs

- Service level goals or agreements
- Biorhythms (peaks and valleys) of the entire system
- Biorhythms of the critical applications
- History of all service level failures

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





Recommended Health Indicators

- System saturation design point at 80% of total CPU capacity
- Importance 1, business critical, applications at less than 80% of total physical processor utilization
- CICS QR TCB utilization at less than 70% of one physical CPU
- Demand paging rate of < 5/second/critical workload

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





Analysis - 1

Top benefits of health checks:

- 1. Meet or exceed Service Level Agreements.
- 2. Manage and control costs.
- 3. Assure scalability of business systems.
- 4. Insure that computer resources are aligned with the business priorities.
- 5. Reduce computer resource requirements.





Analysis - 2

Top 5 performance health check activities:

- Insure that PR/SM and Workload Manager (WLM) Service Policy provide proper priority for processor access.
- 2. Verify that and CICS QR TCB is degraded by less than 50%
- 3. Insure adequate supply of real and virtual storage
- 4. Review Temporary Storage and Transient Data
- 5. Review logical and physical file activity





Analysis - 3

Critical Success Factors:


- Document service level requirements
- Collect short and long term performance data
- Customize all system components (z/OS, CICS, MQ, DB2,...) to maintain and protect performance of business critical applications
- Coordinate performance management and capacity management activities so they are symbiotic functions

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





Analysis - 4 Performance Hierarchy





Measurement Data Sources

• ²Resource Measurement Facility (RMF)

- System wide resource level details: CPU disks, storage, workload performance, and summary
- System Management Facility (SMF)
 - Address space level details for: batch, STC, CICS, etc. + resource level details/address space
 - VSAM file and DB2 object level activity details
- CICS end-of-day and interval statistics
 - CICS Region level statistics and resource counters for: CPU, IO, storage, transactions, connections, etc...
- CICS Monitoring Facility (CMF)

- Transaction level details. All the details!

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





Processor Utilization Governors

- <u>Three</u> dispatchers involved in making physical processor time available to a CICS task:
 - PR/SM dispatches ready tasks of LPARs
 - LPAR weights based shares are enforced by PR/SM only when the processor is at or near 100% busy or LPAR is capped
 - z/OS dispatches tasks within LPAR,
 - z/OS Workload Manager (WLM) Service Policy governs which tasks get highest dispatching priority based on a workload's importance
 - CICS dispatches tasks within each CICS region
 - CICS' Dispatcher handles tasks in their specified priority order while being possibly constrained by various performance and capacity control parameters

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





CEC Level Processor Analysis

•LPAR weight and the guaranteed CPU share

LPAR	Weight	% Share	Guaranteed # of CPs
PRODHOT1	225	45%	7.2
PRODHOT2	225	45%	7.2
PRODWARM	50	10%	1.6
Totals	500	100%	16

- Effective Dispatch Time from PR/SM view
- Partition Dispatch Time from z/OS view
- Short CPUs = task only gets a <u>fraction</u> of one CP

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





RMF Partition Data Report

		/00 VI				OVOTO				TA REPOR				PA	AGE
	z,	/0S V1	K10			SYSTEM RPT VE		59 V1R10		E 07/28/2009 E 17.00.00		RVAL 15. E 1.000			
											0.00		0200000		
WS PARTI						\$59		NUMBE	R OF PHYSICAL	PROCESSORS	26		GROUP N	AME	N/A
MAGE CAP						1127			CP		20		LIMIT		N/A
UMBER OF			D PART	ITIONS		12			AAP		2				
AIT COMP						NO			IFL		0				
DISPATCH	INTE	KVAL			D	YNAMIC			ICF		2				
									IIP		2				
	PAR	TITION	DATA				I	OGICAL	PARTITION PRO	CESSOR DATA	AVERAGE	PROCESSO	R UTILIZATI	ON PERCENT	TAGES
			MS			PING		ESSOR-		TIME DATA	LOGICAL PRO		PHYSIC		
AME	S	WGT	DEF	ACT	DEF	WLM%	NUM	TYPE	EFFECTIVE	TOTAL	EFFECTIVE	TOTAL	LPAR MGMT	EFFECTIVE	Е ТО
\$59	Α	801	0	502	NO	0.0	20.0	CP	02.13.34.022	02.13.34.604	44.52	44.53	0.00	44.52	44.
\$50	Α	500	0	0	NO		20.0	СР	00.00.00.000		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.
\$51	Α	100	0	53	NO	0.0		CP	00.13.58.918		31.07	31.11	0.01	4.66	4.
S55	A	101	0	68	NO		20.0	CP	00.18.01.114		6.01	6.01	0.00	6.01	6.
\$58	Α	999	0	493	NO	0.0	20.0	CP	02.11.06.315		43.70	43.70	0.00	43.70	43.7
PHYSICAL	*									00.00.04.264			0.02		0.0
TOTAL									04.56.40.370	04.56.47.186			0.04	98.89	98.
TUTAL									04.30.40.370	04.00.47.100			0.04	30.03	50.
\$59	Α	150					2	AAP	00.00.00.373	00.00.00.419	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.0
\$50	Α	150					2	AAP	00.00.00.000	00.00.00.000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.
\$51	Α	150					2	AAP	00.00.00.737	00.00.00.770	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.
\$55	Α	150					2	AAP	00.00.00.283	00.00.00.327	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.0
S58	Α	150					2	AAP	00.00.00.317	00.00.00.359	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.0
PHYSICAL	*									00.00.00.993			0.06		0.
TOTAL									00 00 01 712	00 00 02 070					
TOTAL									00.00.01.713	00.00.02.870			0.06	0.10	0.

Source: RMF V1R10 Report Analysis

Think Faster with

Gelb Information



© 2012 Gelb Information Systems Corp.

RMF Monitor III Processor Delays - 1

Command =	Command ===> RMF V1R8 Processor Delays Line 1 of 138 Scroll ===> HALF											
Samples:	60	Syst	em: M	/S1	Date	e: 10/31	/06	Time: 09.	.10.00	Range:	60	Sec
Jobname	СХ	Service Class	СРИ Туре	DLY %	USG %	EApp1 %	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Name	loldíng % Na		%	Name
WSWS7 WSP1S2FS	0 S0	OMVS WASCR	CP CP AAP	11 4 6	46 4 0	59.4 42.5 98.4	2	∗ENCLAVE DBS3DIST ∗ENCLAVE	7 DBS 2 WSW		_	WSP1S2F VTAM44
WSP1S6FS		WASCR	CP AAP	0 6	0 0	5.3 7.7	6	* ENCLAVE			_	
DBS3DBM1 WSP1S6F	S SO	DB2HIGH WASCR	CP CP AAP	2 0 2	6 2 2	0.8 1.9 0.7		XCFAS *ENCLAVE	2 DBS	3DIST	2	WSP1S2F
U078069 WSP1S4F	0 S0	OMVS WASCR	CP CP	2 2 0	2 4 0	1.2 0.1	2	WSWS7	2 DBS	3DI ST	2	U078069
U078068 DBS3DIST	0 S0	OMVS DB2HIGH	AAP CP CP	2 2 0	0 0 78	0.4 0.2 111.0	_	WSP1S6F XCFAS	2 WSW	S7	2	*ENCLAVE
XCFAS	S	SYSTEM	IIP CP	0 0	2 28	21.3 24.1						

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information



© 2012 Gelb Information Systems Corp.



RMF Monitor III Processor Delays - 1 **NOTES**

Processor delays report identifies who is delayed and by ABOUT how much.

- DLY % = (# of Delay Samples / # of Samples) * 100 is % of time task is delayed from getting CPU time
- 2. USG % = (# Using Samples / # Samples) * 100 is % of time the task is receiving CPU service
- 3. Holding Job(s) up to three tasks that most contributed to delay


Note that delays metrics are collected via statistical sampling!

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information



	RMF WORKLOAD ACTIVITY PAGE 1
	z/OS V1R10 SYSPLEX SVPLEX 3 DATE 07/28/2009 INTERVAL 14.59.995 MODE = GOAL RPT VERSION V1R10 RMF TIME 12.00.00
	POLICY ACTIVATION DATE/TIME 11/01/2007 10.12.11 - WORKLOAD & SERVICE CLASS PERIODS -
	SERVICE CLASS(ES)
	REPORT BY: POLICY=BASEPOL WORKLOAD=STC_WLD SERVICE CLASS=STCHIGH RESOURCE GROUP=*NONE CRITICAL =NONE DESCRIPTION =High priority for STC workloads
	-TRANSACTIONS- TRANS-TIME HHH.MM.SS.TTTDASD I/OSERVICE SERVICE TIMEAPPL %PROMOTEDSTORAGE AVG 0.00 ACTUAL 62 SSCHRT 0.0 IOC 0 CPU 0.000 CP 0.00 BLK 0.000 AVG 695.77 MPL 0.00 EXECUTION 62 RESP 0.0 CPU 0 SRB 0.015 AAPCP 0.00 ENQ 0.000 TOTAL 1.49 ENDED 62 QUEUED 0 CONN 0.0 MSO 0 RCT 0.009 IIPCP 0.00 CRM 0.000 SHARED 0.00 END/S 0.03 R/S AFFIN 0 DISC 0.0 SRB 2933 IIT 0.000
	#SWAPS 62 INELIGIBLE 0 Q+PEND 0.0 TOT 2933 HST 0.000 AAP 0.00 COV PAGE-IN RATES- EXCTD 0 CONVERSION 0 IOSQ 0.0 /SEC 2 AAP 0.000 IIP 0.00 SINGLE 0.0 AVG ENC 0.00 STD DEV 482 IIP 0.000 BLOCK 0.0 REM ENC 0.00 TRX SERV 757 HSP 0.0
	PER IMPORTANCE PERF TRANSACTIONS -NUMBER- RESPONSE TIME -EX VEL%- GOAL TOTAL -EXE USING% 1 1 0.5 62 100 00.00.00.500 80% 98.4% 98.4% 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 2 N/A 0 0 00.00.05.000 80% 0.0% N/A 0.0 0.0 3 3 N/A 0 0 00.00.15.000 80% 0.00.00.000 N/A 0.0 0.0
	TOTAL 62 100
	REPORT BY: POLICY=BASEPOL WORKLOAD=STC_WLD SERVICE CLASS=STCLOW RESOURCE GROUP=*NONE CRITICAL =NONE DESCRIPTION =Low priority for STC workloads
	-TRANSACTIONS- TRANS-TIME HHH.MM.SS.TTTDASD I/OSERVICE SERVICE TIMEAPPL %PROMOTEDSTORAGE AVG 0.12 ACTUAL 5.341 SSCHRT 0.1 IOC 250903 CPU 14.636 CP 0.82 BLK 0.000 AVG 679.09 MPL 0.12 EXECUTION 5.341 RESP 2.7 CPU 2946K SRB 0.032 AAPCP 0.00 ENO 0.000 TOTAL 81.44
	Source: RMF V1R10 Report Analysis
Thin	k <i>Faster</i> with © 2012 Gelb Information Systems Corp.
Gelt	o Information Any questions? Email to: <u>ivan@gelbis.com</u> ; Call: 732-303-1333

Notes- RMF Workload Activity



The calculation is:

CPU + SRB + RCT + IIT + HST - AAP - IIP APPL% CP = ------ * 100 Interval length

Notes:

- 1. The interval length in a sysplex is the common interval length.
- 2. The AAP and IIP times may be normalized from a faster zAAP or zIIP.

AAPCP

Percentage of CPU time used by zAAP eligible transactions running on standard CPs. This is a subset of APPL% CP.

- IIPCP Percentage of CPU time used by zIIP eligible transactions running on standard CPs. This is a subset of APPL% CP.
- AAP Percentage of CPU time used by transactions executed on zAAPs in the service or report class period.
- IIP Percentage of CPU time used by transactions executed on zIIPs in the service or report class period.

Source: RMF V1R10 Report Analysis

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information



AAPCP and IIPCP will forecast ZAAP and ZIIP potential utilization with PROJECTCPU option specified in IEAOPT member of PARMLIB

© 2012 Gelb Information Systems Corp.



RMF Workload Activity

. (1.1								-		
77	REPORT BY:	POLI	CY=BASEPOL	WORKLOAD=CICS_WLD	SERVI CRITI		=CICSLOW =NONE	RESOURC	E GROUP=*NONE	PERIOD=1	IMPORTANCE=4
	-TRANSACTI	ONS	TDANS_TIME	HHH.MM.SS.TTT							
	AVG		ACTUAL	HHH.MM.55.111 0							
	MPL	0.00	EXECUTION	0							
	ENDED	893	QUEUED	0							
	END/S		R/S AFFIN	0							
	#SWAPS	0.50	INELIGIBLE	0							
	EXCTD	ē	CONVERSION	0							
	AVG ENC	-	STD DEV	0							
	REM ENC	0.00	510 020	ů							
	MS ENC	0.00									
	HO ENG	0.00									
		RESP			STAT	E SAMPLE	S BREAKDO	NN (%)			STATE
	SUB P	TIME	ACTIVE	READY IDLE			WA	ITING FOR-			SWITCHED SAMPL(%)
	TYPE	(%)	SUB APPL								LOCAL SYSPL REMOT
	CICS BTE	ò.o	0.0 0.0		.4						0.0 0.0 0.0
	CICS EXE	0.0	0.0 0.0								0.0 0.0 0.0
						Sava (CPU time	and			
	GOAL: RESE	PONSE	TIME 000.00.	30.000 FOR 75%							
						money	! Get the	Goal			
			SE TIME EX	PERF		and R	esponse	time			
	SYSTEM	ACT	UAL% VEL%	INDX			•				
							tion from				
	*ALL			0.5		No CIC	CS transa	ction			
	CB8B CB86			0.5			ata colleo	otion			
	CB80 CB87			0.5							
	CB88			0.5		require	ed to get	this!			
	CB89			0.5	/ _						
	0009		100 11/ 4	. 0.5							
					RESPO	NSE TIME	DISTRIBU	TION			
	TIM	1E	NUMBER	OF TRANSACTIONS			T	0 10		9 60 70	9 80 90 100
	HH. MM. S		CUM TOTA		CUM T		N BUCKET				
	< 00.00.1		89			100	100		>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>		
	<= 00.00.1		89			100	0.0	>			
	<= 00.00.2		89			100	0.0				
						100					

Source: RMF V1R10 Report Analysis

Think Faster with

Gelb Information



© 2012 Gelb Information Systems Corp.



CICS Statistics

- Data written to SMF files
- Control via: CEMT SET STATISTICS INTERVAL(hhmmss) default = 3 hrs. ENDOFDAY(hhmmss) default = 000000
- Can be requested via CEMT for any one of the over 20 specific areas of CICS
- Reports via DFHSTUP and DFH0STAT

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





CICS Statistics - 2

 Recommendation for detailed health check analysis:

INTERVAL(hhmmss) Make the interval **match** the RMF – SMF data collection interval's duration. Same use as DFHSIT STATINT.

 Enables most effective analysis of resource utilization statistics collected by SMF- RMF in conjunction with the CICS statistics.

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





CICS Dispatcher Domain -1

Statistics to watch:

- Current MXT limit
- Nr. Of Times MXT reached
- Peak tasks??
- TRANCLASS limit by class
- TRANCLASS limit reached by class

NOTE: Limits should only be hit intentionally, and watch out for excessive (about 25% above HWM) MXT as cause of increased SRM address space's CPU needs!

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





CICS Dispatcher Domain –2

Processor timings by modes of TCB in CICS V4.1:

- QR = Quasi-reentrant (system & **applications**)
- CO = Concurrent (VSAM) mode TCB if SUBTSKS is 1
- FO = File Owning (VSAM)
- RO = Resource Owning
- D2 = Used to stop DB2 protected threads
- SZ = Used by FEPI interface
- RP = Used to make ONC/RPC calls
- EP = Runs event processing (new in v4.1)
- J8 = Run JVM in CICS key
- J9 = Run JVM in user key
- JM = Shared class cache management

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





CICS Dispatcher Domain – 3

Processor timings by modes of TCB in CICS V4.1:

- L8 = OPENAPI option and EXECKEY=CICS programs
- L9 = OPENAPI option and EXECKEY=USER programs
- SO = Used for calls to TCP/IP sockets interface
- SL = Used to wait for activity on a set of listening sockets
- S8 = Secure Sockets Layer (SSL) or LDAP request
- SP = Used for socket pthread owning task
- T8 = Used by tasks to perform system processing in JVM server (new in v4.1)
- TP = Owns and manages the LE enclave, JVM, THRD TCB pool, and T8 TCB of JVM server (new in v4.1)
- X8 = Used by tasks which call C or C++ program compiled with XPLINK option and defined with EXECKEY=CICS
- X9 = Used by tasks which call C or C++ program compiled with XPLINK option and defined with EXECKEY=USER

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information



© 2012 Gelb Information Systems Corp.



CICS Dispatcher Domain -4

- Number of MVS waits /TCB
- Accum. time in MVS wait /TCB
- Accum. Time dispatched /TCB
- Accum. CPU time /TCB
- Track & Note:
 - Total CPU & consumption rate of region
 - Wait-for-dispatch (incl. measurement distortions) = 3 4 (w/o capture ratio)

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





Dispatcher Statistics – Summary

DISPATCHER STATISTICS

<u>Dispatcher Start Date and Time : 11/24/2002 09:22:44.7563</u>
Address Space CPU Time
Address Space SRB Time
Peak number of dispatcher tasks
<u>Peak ICV time (msec)</u>
Peak ICVR time (msec)
<u>Peak ICVTSD time (msec)</u>
Peak PRTYAGE time (msec) 0
Peak MRO (QR) Batching (MROBTCH) value : 1
Number of Excess TCB Scans 1030792M 😕
Excess TCB Scans - No TCB Detached : 901943M 😕
Number of Excess TCBs Detached : 222681M 😕
Average Excess TCBs Detached per Scan : 0

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information

.....





Dispatcher Statistics – Summary

DISPATCHER STATISTICS

Dispatcher Start Date and Time	/2009 06:03:32.6499
Address Space CPU Time	00:05:27.182061
Address Space SRB Time	00:00:06.130045
Peak number of dispatcher tasks :	69
<u> </u>	1000
<u>Peak ICVR time (msec)</u>	2500
Peak ICVTSD time (msec)	500
<u>Peak PRTYAGE time (msec)</u>	0
Peak MRO (QR) Batching (MROBTCH) value :	1
Number of Excess TCB Scans	239
Excess TCB Scans - No TCB Detached :	231
Number of Excess TCBs Detached	12
<u>Average Excess TCBs Detached per Scan :</u>	<u>0</u>
Number of CICS TCB MODEs	21 🧲
Number of CICS TCB POOLs	

Note: Three new TCB modes in V4.1: EP, T8, TP

Report Source: Steve Ware, from UFL Test Region

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information



© 2012 Gelb Information Systems Corp.



Dispatcher Statistics — Time by TCB Mode

DISPATCHER STATISTICS (Note: Columns 2 - 5 deleted to improve legibility)

TCB		•	MVS	<u>Total Time</u>	<u> (Total Time</u>) Total CPU
Mode	• •	. Wa	aits	in MVS wait	Dispatched	Time / TCB
<u>Q</u> R .	• •	13051397	000)-18:18:33.24	000-01:49:46.74	000-01:12:02.27
RO		. 43	8658	000-20:05:12.28	000-00:02:46.27	000-00:01:00.80
CO		•	0	000-00:00:00.00	000-00:00:00.00	000-00:00:00.00
SZ		•	0	000-00:00:00.00	000-00:00:00.00	000-00:00:00.00
RP		•	0	000-00:00:00.00	000-00:00:00.00	000-00:00:00.00
FO		•	800	000-19:00:52.61	000-00:00:44.05	000-00:00:06.50
SL		•	1	000-00:00:00.00	000-00:00:00.00	000-00:00:00.00
SO		•	2	000-00:00:00.00	000-00:00:00.00	000-00:00:00.00
S8		•	0	000-00:00:00.00	000-00:00:00.00	000-00:00:00.00
D2			2419	000-20:18:01.28	000-00:00:03.26	000-00:00:00.43
<u>18 .</u>	•	16952578	007	7-03:07:31.31	000-05:36:18.48	000-01:13:35.37
Н8		•	0	000-00:00:00.00	000-00:00:00.00	000-00:00:00.00
J8	• •	•	0	000-00:00:00.00	000-00:00:00.00	000-00:00:00.00

Recommendation: If QR TCB "Total Time Dispatched" is more than 1.25 times "Total CPU Time/TCB," determine response time degradation and seek increased importance in WLM Service Policy if degradation is significant.

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





I/O Performance Analysis

- Read I/O Performance
- Write I/O Performance
- RMF Device Activity Reports
- CICS I/O Activity Statistics

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





READ I/O Performance

Performance factors:

- IOS queuing
- Channel utilization
- Amount of cache
- Device utilization
- Intra-file contention
- Inter-file contention
- Path utilization
- I/O pend request handling by CPU

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





WRITE I/O Performance

Performance factors:

- IOS queuing
- Channel utilization
- Amount of NVS cache
- Contention with other writers
- Disk device utilization
- Intra-file contention
- Inter-file contention
- I/O path utilization
- I/O pend request handling by CPU.

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information



I/O Device Activity (RMF PP Report)

DIRECT ACCESS DEVICE ACTIVITY

z/OS V1R8 DATE 11/28/2006 SYSTEM ID SYS1 INTERVAL 14.59.946 RPT VERSION V1R8 RMF TIME 16.30.00 CYCLE 1.000 SECONDS TOTAL SAMPLES = IODF = A3CR-DATE: 07/21/2006 CR-TIME: 07.42.20 ACT: POR 900 DEVICE AVG AVG AVG AVG AVG AVG AVG % AVG ş * * % STORAGE DEV DEVICE VOLUME PAV LCU ACTIVITY RESP 1050 CMR DB PEND DISC CONN DEV DEV DEV NUMBER ANY MT GROUP NUM TYPE SERIAL RATE TIME TIME DLY DLY TIME TIME TIME CONN UTIL RESV ALLOC ALLOC PEND 0401 3380K SYSLIB 0032 1.246 4.6 0.9 0.0 2.2 2.5 0.12.0 0.25 0.26 0.0 89.6 100.0 0.0 0402 3380K SYSUSR 0.250 6.3 0.1 0.0 1.0 14.0 0032 1.4 0.0 0.3 0.1 100.0 0.03 0.03 0.0 040F 3380K SCL338 0.000 0.0 0.0 6.13 0.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0032 0.00 0.00 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 2.1 LCU 1.3 0.1 1.8 0032 1.496 4.1 0.0 0.0 0.07 0.07 0.0 104 100.0 0.0 6.3 044F 3380K MVSPG1 0033 0.000 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.9 0.0 0.00 0.00 0.0 6.9 100.0 0.0 0460 3380K RMFLIB 6.1 1.5 6.3 4.6 6.0 0033 0.036 0.0 0.0 0.3 0.02 0.02 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 047F 3380K MVSPLX 0033 0.000 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00 0.00 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 LCU 0033 0.036 6.1 0.0 0.0 0.3 1.5 0.0 4.6 4.0 100.0 0.0 0.00 0.00 0.0 0500 33903 **MVSLIB** 0034 0.082 22.6 0.0 13.0 16.2 0.3 6.1 20.4 100.0 0.0 0.05 0.05 0.0 0.0 0501 33903 MVSSCF 0034 0.012 1.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0 1.0 0.00 4.6 100.0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0502 33903 MVSCI2 0034 0.000 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00 0.00 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 OMVSSYS 0503 33903 MVS0P2 0034 0.008 19.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.8 0.0 18.4 0.01 0.01 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0705 33909 15CY09 0035 0.000 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.00 0707 33909 16RJ02 0035 0.036 55.2 0.0 0.0 36.7 44.6 0.0 10.6 0.04 0.0 0.0100.0 0.0 0.04 15CYX9 0708 33909 0035 0.000 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.00

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information



© 2012 Gelb Information Systems Corp.

Any questions? Email to: <u>ivan@gelbis.com</u>; Call: 732-303-1333

PAGE 6



RMF Monitor III- Device Delays

Command =	Command ===> RMF V1R8 Device Delays Line 1 of 57 Scroll ===> HALF													
Samples:	100	Sys	tem:	MVS	1	Dat	:ez	10/31/06	Ti	ime: 10.	03.20	0 Range:	100) Sec
Jobname	Se C C1		DLY %	USG %	con %	•	%	VOLSER	Mai %	in Delay VOLSER	Volu %	ume(s) ∜0LSER	z	VOLSER
MARYPATM		PRIME	70	51	54			TSOL11	1	DUMP00				
MICHAELL	B NR S SY	PRIME	39 36	15 18	14 20			BPXLK1 D24PK2						
CHARLESR		PRIME	33	13	13			BPXLK1	3	HSML02	2	BPXSSK		
DFHSM	S SY		30	83	35			HSML17	-	SMS026		HSMOCD	4	HSMBCD
SHUMA3	T TS	OPRIME	18	52	53		13	D83ID0	5	HSML02				
DAVEP	T TS	OPRIME	16	9	10		4	HSM009	3	HSM005	2	HSML06	1	SMS013
CATALOG	S SY	STEM	- 9	15	21		2	CLR007	1	HSM036	1	HSM018	1	HSM011
DB2MDBM1	S SY	SSTC	9	- 7	- 5		7	DB2MS2	1	DB2MD0	1	DB2MS0		
GINNI	T TS	OPRIME	8	10	9		3	HSML17	2	CLR010	1	HSM032	1	NATPK1
TREVORJ	T TS	OPRIME	6	10	11		2	HSM022	1	HSM001	1	RESPK1	1	HSM024
RHANSON	T TS	OPRIME	6	9	8			HSML17	1	RESPK1	1	NATPK1		
КОСН	T TS	OPRIME	6	3	3	J	2	HSML17	1	CLR010	1	HSM018	1	HSM043

Think Faster with

Gelb Information



© 2012 Gelb Information Systems Corp.



M3- File I/O Tuning – VSAM RLS

Command ===>		RMF V1R8	3 VSAM	RLS Act	ivity	- SY	SPLEX	Line 1 Scroll ==			
Samples: 120	SJ	/stems: 2	2 Da	te: 10/	31/06	Time:	13.25.0	90 Range: 12	0 Sec		
<pre></pre>											
	 Access	Resp		Read					Write		
Splice C/ DS	1000000	Time	Rate	BMF%	CF%	DASD%	Valid%	False Inv%	Rate		
BMAI.VSAMIN. BMAI.VSAMIN		AIX.DATA									
Below 2GB	DIR SEQ	0.003	0.01	0.0	0.0	100 0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00		
Above 2GB	DIR SEQ	0.003	0.01	0.0	0.0	100	0.0	0.00	0.00		
BMAI.VSAMIN				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00		
Below 2GB	DIR SEO	0.003	0.03	50.0 0.0	0.0	50.0 0.0	100 0.0	0.00	0.00		
Above 2GB	DIR SEQ	0.003	0.03	50.0 0.0	0.0	50.0 0.0	100	0.00	0.00		
BMAI.VSAMIN	-	ATA									
Below 2GB	DIR SEQ	0.000	7.45 0.00	83.2 0.0	0.0 0.0	16.8 0.0	100 0.0	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00		

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information



© 2012 Gelb Information Systems Corp.



- "LRU Status" status of local buffers under Buffer Manager Facility (BMF) control
 - \odot GOOD = BMF at or below goal
 - Output Accelerated because BMF
 Accelerated because BMF
 is over goal
 - Big RECLAIMED = buffer aging bypassed accelerated because BMF is over goal
- "BMF Valid %" percent of BMF reads that were valid NOTE: BMF read hits are sum of valid and invalid hits. Buffers can be invalid because (A) data altered, or (B) CF lost track of buffer status
 - BMF READ HIT% = BMF READ% / BMF VALID% * 100
 - BMF INVALID READ HIT% = BMF READ HIT% BMF READ%

© 2012 Gelb Information Systems Corp.

Gelb Information

Think *Faster* with





CICS File Control Statistics

- FC Calls total by the 7 types: Get, Get Upd, Browse, Update, Add, Delete, Brws Upd
- VSAM Data component physical I/Os
- VSAM Index component physical I/Os


Recommendation: Tuning Objective is to Maximize ratio of: ΣFC Calls / (Data + Index I/Os)

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





CICS VSAM File Control Statistics



File	Get	Get Upd	Browse	Update	Add	Delete	Brws Upc	VSAM EXCP	Requests	RLS req
Name	Requests	Data	Index	Timeouts						
AAAB2SP	34238	0	0	0	0	0	C	22	1	0
BBBACTV	0	27	0	27	376636	0	C	382501	0	0
CCCFNDD	65928	0	0	0	0	0	C	15089	6228	0
DDDIAFD	4767	0	25159	0	0	0	0	12609	148	0
EEEINTX	27088	0	8124	0	0	0	0	3	2	<u> </u>
FFFPNDD	17969	5310	0	5310	166	0	0	9905	799	0
GGGSCRX	488	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	59	0
HHHSEGH	33043	43	1712	43	43	0	0	1597	841	<u> 0 </u> ©
IIISEG1	48931	6925	531	2810	6739	4115	0	15537	2862	0
JJJSEG2	23634	745	0	205	745	540	0	1291	1	0
KKKTBLS	537	0	75997	0	0	0	0	525	26	<u> </u>
LLLTEST	0	0	0	0	41741	0	0	43761	0	0
MMMULHD	54891	43	0	43	0	0	0	806	453	0 🙂
NNNUNLD	32679	1640	0	1586	53	0	C	7319	2670	0
OOOPCFIL	37752	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	1	<u> 0 </u> ©
TOTALS	427489	18626	155690	13864	459660	4655	0	536868	15546	0

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information



© 2012 Gelb Information Systems Corp.



CICS LSR Buffer Pools

- Buffer size
- Number of buffers
- Look-aside hits (This = saved I/Os)
- Buffer reads (I/Os required)
- User-initiated buffer writes (bad for LSR!)
- Sumber of requests waited for strings
- **Recommendation:** Maximize 3 & minimize 4 by adding buffers; isolate 5s; minimize 6s!!!

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





CICS LSR Pools Statistics

LSRPOOLS													
Total number of pools built : 17													
Peak requests that waited for string : 2													
Total requests that waited for string :125 🙁													
<u>Peak concurren</u>	tly active string	s :	6										
Shared Buffers	<u>8</u>												
Pool	Look-		User	Non-user									
Number	asides	Reads	writes	writes									
1	644389	48039	4596	0									
2	53249	824	0	0									
3	234800	2568	139	0 🙂									
4	83125	5164	5620	0									
5	187335	21327	1658	0									
6	23980	10	24460	0									
7	397988	7033	12882	<u> </u>									
8	86917	1443	1507	0									
TOTALS	1711783	86408	50862	0									

Recommendations: (1) Minimize/eliminate waits for strings. (2) Add buffers until reads are being reduced significantly. (3) Use multiple LSR pools to separate data from index, and good from poor buffer candidates.

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information



© 2012 Gelb Information Systems Corp.



Temporary Storage

Put/Putq main storage requests	:	78701 <
Get/Getq main storage requests	:	70899 <
Peak storage for temp. storage (main)	:	135916 <
Put/Putq auxiliary storage requests	:	78756 <
Get/Getq auxiliary storage requests	:	135961 <
Peak temporary storage names in use	:	66
Number of entries in longest queue	:	58
Times queues created	:	131425
Control interval size	:	4096
Available bytes per control interval	:	4032
Segments per control interval	:	63
Bytes per segment	:	64
Writes more than control interval	:	3
Longest auxiliary temp storage record	:	32080
Number of control intervals available	:	3599
Peak control intervals in use	:	13
Times aux. storage exhausted	:	$\int 0 < $
Number of temp storage compressions	:	1507 <
Temporary storage buffers	:	6 <
Buffer waits	:	0 <
Peak users waiting on buffer	:	0 <
Buffer writes	:	22 <
Forced writes for recovery	:	0 <
Buffer reads	:	25 <
Format writes	:	0 <
Temporary storage strings	:	6 <
Peak number of strings in use	:	1 <
Times string wait occurred	:) 0 <
Peak number of users waiting on string	:	0 < /
I/O errors on TS dataset	:	\ 0 < /
Shared pools defined	:	
Shared pools currently connected	:	0
Shared requests	:	0
Shared write requests	:	0
-		

Think *Faster* with Gelb Information



© 2012 Gelb Information Systems Corp.


Any questions? Email to: <u>ivan@gelbis.com</u>; Call: 732-303-1333

Slide 40



Transient Data

TRANSIENT DATA	
Control interval size	:
Peak control intervals used	:
Times NOSPACE occurred	:
Writes to intrapartition dataset	:
Reads from intrapartition dataset	:
Formatting writes	:
I/O errors	:
Intrapartition buffers	:
Peak intra. buffers containing valid data	:
Intrapartition accesses	:
Peak concurrent intrapartition accesses	:
Intrapartition buffer waits	:
Peak intrapartition buffer waits	:
Times string accessed	:
Peak concurrent string accesses	:
Intrapartition string waits	:
Peak string waits	:



Think *Faster* with Gelb Information



© 2012 Gelb Information Systems Corp.

Any questions? Email to: <u>ivan@gelbis.com</u>; Call: 732-303-1333

Slide 41



References

- CICS Information Center IBM CICS Transaction Server for z/OS Version 4.1
- CICS Performance Guide, SC34-6009
- CICS Performance Management Guide, SC33-1699


Think *Faster* with Gelb Information





Join us at the next SHARE in 2013.





Slide 43

Gelb Information

