User Experience: LE Callable Services I Have Known SHARE August 2010

Craig Schneiderwent
State of Wisconsin
Department of Transportation
cschneidpublic@yahoo.com
#include <std/disclaimer.h>

Any opinions expressed are my own and do not necessarily reflect those of my employer. You wouldn't believe the amount of red tape that one statement gets me out of.

My background is in writing code, 13 languages on 5 different operating systems. I'm currently part of the IT Strategy and Architecture section at the Wisconsin DOT. Much of my day is spent consulting, answering questions that begin, "Can the mainframe do..."

#### What You Will See

- Code snippets from currently running applications
  - Mostly COBOL
  - CEEGMT, CEEGMTO, CEEDATM, CEECRHP, CEEGTST, CEEFRST, CEE3INF, CEESITST, CEE3DMP, CEEMSG
- Soapbox oration on error handling
- A development philosophy

We are z/OS 1.10, CICS TS 3.1, DB2 8, IMS v9. Our mainframe application portfolio consists of ~150M lines of mostly COBOL. We have exactly 0 C applications, approximately 70 program objects that contain code generated by the OS PL/I v1 and v2 compilers, and a small number of Assembler applications. Our motto is "Write COBOL until you can't, then write Assembler until you don't have to." The unspoken corollary is "Don't write PL/I, C, or Fortran."

#### A Real-World Example

- CICS application needs a date/time formatted as per RFC 2616 (for more information, come to "User Experience: Writing a Web-enabled CICS/COBOL Program" right after this presentation)
- 3 byte day of week, comma, space, day of month, space three by month abbreviation, space, four digit year, space, two digit hour, colon, two digit minute, colon, two digit second, space, time zone
- Mon, 11 Jan 2010 20:41:54 GMT
- Can I write code to do that? You bet...

### A Short Philosophical Digression

- Don't write something that's already been written
- Don't write something specific when you can write something generic
- Refactor and Reuse
- Temper this with YAGTNI

Something I learned from the Smalltalk development environment: always check to see if someone has already written what you are planning to write. In the context of this presentation, always check the LE Programming Reference to see if there is a callable service, or a set of callable services you can wire together, that does what you want.

If you've done object oriented programming you're familiar with the idea of a class library. Many IT shops have a set of standard subroutines. It's all the same concept, highly shareable code made available so the wheel need not be reinvented. The trick is to know where to look for reusable components and to recognize components from which you can build what you need.

While we are unlikely to be able to build our entire application from prefab parts, why not make as much use of preexisting code as we can?

YAGTNI is You Aren't Going To Need It - or - don't try to solve *every* problem or you'll end up with an unmaintainable mess. Remember the Unix Way: "Write programs that do one thing and do it well."

As you can see, there is definitely a tug of war between creating a complete solution to a problem and creating a never-ending feature list that never gets implemented. If this were easy, we'd have automated it by now.

\_

## A Language Environment Solution

- CEEGMT
- CEEGMTO
- CEEDATM

I could write code to obtain the current date, break it up into its component pieces, look up the day of week in an internal table, look up the month name in an internal table, and format the whole thing according to the specification a few slides back. Or I could call a few LE Callable Services to do all that for me.

```
Obtain GMT time.
CALL 'CEEGMT' USING
    GMT-LILIAN
    GMT-FLOT
    OMITTED
END-CALL
IF LCL-TM
    If local time requested, obtain its offset from GMT
    and compute local time.
    CALL 'CEEGMTO' USING
        OSET-HRS
        OSET-MIN
        OSET-SEC
        OMITTED
    END-CALL
    ADD OSET-SEC TO GMT-FLOT
END-IF
Format the date according to the passed picture string.
CALL 'CEEDATM' USING
    GMT-FLOT
    DT-PIC-STRN
    FMT-DT-TM
    OMITTED
END-CALL
```

This is the Procedure Division of the COBOL program to solve the problem presented a couple of slides back. This program does not just solve that problem, it solves the problem of needing a date or time or both in pretty much any format you might need.

CEEGMT obtains the number of seconds from midnight 14-Oct-1582 as a 64-bit double floating point number. CEEGMTO obtains the local offset from GMT as a 64-bit double floating point number. CEEDATM takes a 64-bit double floating point number representing the date and time as the offset from midnight 14-Oct-1582 and formats it according to a picture string you provide. The LE Programming Reference documents all the different picture string options. For my purposes I used 'Www, DD Mmm YYYY HH:MI:SS GMT'.

#### Error Handling, Part 1

Note the OMITTED keyword in the previous slide. This instructs LE to perform its default error handling, which is to display the message corresponding to the error and then abend.

Schneiderwent's Rule: if all you're going to do with a bad LE feedback code is display a message and then abend, pass a null pointer for the feedback code parameter and let LE do its default error handling.

Caveat: check the possible LE feedback codes first.

I decided this how I wanted to handle bad feedback codes after a lot of mental running in circles. At one point, I wrote code to do exactly what LE probably does: call CEEMSG to display the message corresponding to the bad feedback code and then call CEE3ABD. Actually, at more than one point. I'm pretty sure every other example in this presentation shows why I adopted this rule.

Please note the caveat: in the case of the callable services in use in the previous slide, the errors for CEEGMT and CEEGMTO aren't something an application can deal with. CEEDATM could complain about the date format string, but pragmatically speaking that's probably hardcoded in the caller and they'll catch their error on the first call when they're testing.

Schneiderwent's rule isn't so much a rule as it is a guideline. Or maybe just good advice. Or just my opinion. It's one of those, you get to pick.

#### Error Handling, Part 2

If you're not going to abend in the case of a bad LE feedback code, you might want to provide as much information as possible regarding the context of the error.

- CEEMSG
- CEE3DMP

#### A Real-World Example

- An application written with an I-CASE tool needs to do I/O to an arbitrary number of flat files, each of arbitrary LRECL and RECFM.
- Solution is LE conforming Assembler.
- A linked list of DCBs and DCBEs is maintained in an LE heap that exists below the 16M line.

If you're going to do LE conforming Assembler, be sure to look in the LE Programming Guide. There's a list of system services that can be used, can but should not be used, and should not be used.

The I-CASE tool in question is currently named CA-Gen. It was originally developed at Texas Instruments under the name IEF. There have been a number in intermediate names.

The solution was originally going to be 1 COBOL program per flat file. When the original developer heard that, he approached me and I proposed an Assembler solution. This was how I became familiar with writing LE conforming Assembler.

```
[...]
                 (CLC, HEAPID, EQ, =F'0') THEN
          IF
                 CALL CEECRHP, (HEAPID, ZERO, ZERO,
                                                                               X
                 CEECRHP OPT, LE FC), MF=(E, CALL LIST)
*
                 CREATE HEAP FOR CEEGTST
                 IF
                       (CLC, LE FC, NE, =3XL4'0') THEN
                       DSPL ABND LE, =F'1'
                 ENDIF
          ENDIF
          CALL
                CEEGTST, (HEAPID, LISTMEMSZ, @MEM, LE FC), MF=(E, CALL LIST)
                ALLOCATE STORAGE
                 (CLC, LE FC, NE, =3XL4'0') THEN
          IF
                DSPL ABND LE, =F'2'
          ENDIF
[...]
          CALL
                CEEFRST, (@MEM, LE FC), MF=(E, CALL LIST)
                FREE STORAGE
                 (CLC, LE FC, NE, =3XL4'0') THEN
          IF
                DSPL ABND LE, =F'3'
          ENDIF
```

We'll take a look at the DSPL\_ABND macro on the next slide.

Of GETMAIN/FREEMAIN the LE Programming Guide says "Host services can, but should not, be used. Use of equivalent Language Environment storage management services is advised."

CEECRHP takes initial size to allocate, additional increment size and options as input and returns a heap ID to be used by CEEGTST. CEECRHP\_OPT is initialized to F'76' which corresponds to HEAP(,,BELOW,). This is necessary because the DCB must be located below the 16M line. CEEGTST takes a heap ID and amount of storage to allocate as input and returns the address of the allocated storage. CEEFRST takes the address of storage to free and frees it.

This is program DYNQSAM1, available in the materials for this session, 1542, on the SHARE web site.

```
MACRO
*
*
   INTERNAL MACRO TO FACTOR OUT THE DISPLAY-MESSAGE-ABEND CODE
*
         DSPL ABND &MODE, &ABND CD, &ACTN, &RC, &DDNAME
                ('&MODE' EQ 'LE').LE
         AIF
                ('&MODE' EQ 'NOT_LE').NOT_LE
         AIF
         MNOTE 12, 'MODE MUST BE LE OR NOT LE'
         MEXIT
[...]
.LE
         ANOP
                CEEMSG, (LE_FC, CEEMSG_DEST_CD, CEEMSG_LE_FC),
         CALL
                                                                             X
                MF=(E,CALL LIST)
                ('&ABND CD' NE '0').ABND
         AIF
         MEXIT
. ABND
         ANOP
         MVC
                CEE3ABD CD, & ABND CD
                                               SAVE ABEND CODE
                CEE3ABD, (CEE3ABD_CD, CEE3ABD_CLEANUP), MF=(E, CALL_LIST)
         CALL
         MEXIT
         MEND
```

Note that this is the moral equivalent of LE's default behavior in the event of an unexpected feedback code. The message corresponding to the feedback code is displayed and the application abends. Note that this isn't identical to LE's default behavior, as you're not getting machine state at time of error, but machine state shortly after time of error.

11

Also note that the ABEND macro is another system service listed as "can, but should not, be used" in the LE Programming Guide.

CEEMSG takes an LE feedback code and destination code as input and writes the message corresponding to the feedback code to the destination corresponding to the destination code. The only destination code currently supported is 2, which is the DDNAME specified in the MSGFILE LE runtime option. This is usually SYSOUT. CEE3ABD takes an abend code and a cleanup indicator. The latter can take a number of different documented values indicating what sort of dump you want, we always use 1 meaning give us a CEEDUMP. There is also a CEE3AB2 callable service that allows specification of a reason code to go with your abend code.

#### A Real-World Example

- Application needs to know if it is running in CICS or not
- Solution, until z/OS 1.9, was an Assembler program walking through z/OS control blocks until it found the name of the first program executed and comparing the first 3 bytes of the name to 'DFH'
- LE now provides a better alternative

I am not making this up.

```
[...]
           Get information about our environment
           CALL 'CEE3INF' USING
               WS-SYS
               WS-ENV
               WS-LANG
               WS-LE-VERS
               CEE3INF-LEFB-CD
           END-CALL
           MOVE FST-DBL-WORD OF CEE3INF-LEFB-CD TO LE-FEEDBACK-CD
           IF CEE000
               PERFORM 0100-INIT
               PERFORM 1000-PRCS
           ELSE
               MOVE CEE3INF-LEFB-CD TO LEFB-CD
               PERFORM 9998-LE-ERR
               MOVE 8 TO RETURN-CODE
           END-IF
[...]
           PERFORM VARYING BIT-TO-TEST FROM 0 BY 1
           UNTIL BIT-TO-TEST > 31 OR WS-RC NOT = 0
             PERFORM 8010-BIT-TEST
             Bits are numbered from the right, subscripts from the left
             COMPUTE BIT-SUB = 32 - BIT-TO-TEST
             EVALUATE TRUE
               WHEN BIT-IS-ON
                    MOVE '1' TO SYS-BYTES(BIT-SUB)
               WHEN BIT-IS-OFF
                    MOVE '0' TO SYS-BYTES(BIT-SUB)
             END-EVALUATE
           END-PERFORM
[...]
```

CEE3INF returns 4 fullwords. The first 3 are all bit switches indicating many different things about the current environment. The last is the LE version number.

What I'm building here is a table containing x'F1' for each bit that is on, and x'F0' for each bit that is off. My reasoning is that I can't think of a caller that would be unable to interpret the result.

This piece of code with the PERFORM VARYING is illustrative but not comprehensive. The entire program is J7200551 in the SHARE materials for session 1542. The program does something similar with each of the 3 bit switch fullwords.

The CEE000 88-level comes from a copybook provided by IBM in hlq.CEE.Samplib(CEEIGZCT).

```
8010-BIT-TEST.
    Call LE service to test bits
    CALL 'CEESITST' USING
        FULL-WORD-TO-TEST
        BIT-TO-TEST
        CEESITST-LEFB-CD
        BIT-TEST-RSLT-SW
    END-CALL
    MOVE FST-DBL-WORD OF CEESITST-LEFB-CD TO LE-FEEDBACK-CD
    IF CEE000
        CONTINUE
    ELSE
       MOVE CEESITST-LEFB-CD TO LEFB-CD
        PERFORM 9998-LE-ERR
       MOVE 8 TO WS-RC
        STRING MYNAME
          ' unrecognized return value from CEESITST'
          DELIMITED SIZE
          INTO CEE3DMP-TITL-SPFC
        END-STRING
        PERFORM 9997-DUMP-CORE
    END-IF
```

There are a number of different ways to do bit testing in COBOL, I chose to call CEESITST, the LE callable service to test bits.

CEESITST takes a fullword you want to test a bit in, a fullword indicating which bit you want to test, and returns the result in a fullword as either 1 or zero indicating the specified bit is either on or off, respectively. If you want to test bits in a single byte, you'll have to embed that byte in a fullword if you want to use CEESITST.

15

# Questions?

#### Links

- z/OS 1.10 Information Center
- Enterprise COBOL